# Quarterly Index of Industrial Production (QIIP) Fourth quarter 2009 

## 1. Introduction

The Index of Industrial Production shows the evolution of the volume of output of the Industrial Sector which covers "Mining and quarrying", "Manufacturing" and "Electricity, gas and water supply" and accounts for around $22 \%$ of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The index compiled on a quarterly basis is one of the most important industrial short-term indicators, which aims at measuring, on a quarterly basis, the changes in the volume of industrial output.

This issue of "Economic and Social Indicators" presents the quarterly indices for the first quarter of 2003 to fourth quarter of 2009 with weights based on the results of the 2002 Census of Economic Activities and year 2002 as base period.

The indices are given separately for the three sections, namely, "Mining and quarrying", "Manufacturing" and "Electricity, gas and water supply". Within "Manufacturing", estimates by broad group, namely, enterprises formerly holding an EPZ certificate, Non-EPZ and "Sugar milling" as well as by main industrial grouping are given. Indices for the Export Oriented Enterprises (EOE) sector can be considered as being same as for enterprises formerly holding an EPZ certificate, as the latter group constitutes more than $95 \%$ of the EOE sector. Wherever possible, the annual averages of the quarterly indices have been worked out and included in the tables. It is to be noted that, due to incomplete data, indices for the fourth quarter of 2009 are provisional and published at section and broad group level only. They are therefore subject to revision in future issues of the Economic and Social Indicator of QIIP.

The published indices are not seasonally adjusted. The user is therefore advised to base comparisons for a particular quarter of a year on the corresponding quarter of the previous year.
The objectives of the QIIP, data sources and methodology used in the compilation of the index as well as the limitations of the index are given at annex.

## 2. The overall index - Industrial Sector

In the fourth quarter of 2009 the overall index of industrial production increased by $11.6 \%$ compared to the previous quarter, and by $3.8 \%$ when compared to the corresponding quarter of 2008. The index for the year 2009 worked out as the average of the quarterly indices went up by $1.2 \%$ compared to 2008. This is explained mainly by increases in the real output of "Sugar Milling" (+15.0\%), "Non-EPZ" $(+0.8 \%)$ and "Electricity, gas and water supply" ( $+2.5 \%$ ) partly offset by a decrease of $14.9 \%$ in "Mining and quarrying" and a zero growth in "EPZ" (Table 1). The long-term trend (4quarter moving average), as shown graphically by chart 1 , shows that the upward tendency as from the third quarter of 2006 is maintained up to second quarter

2008, but declined during the period third quarter 2008 to second quarter 2009. However, as from third quarter 2009, an upward trend is noted again.


## 3. Changes by section

### 3.1 Mining and quarrying

"Mining and quarrying", is restricted to activities relating to quarrying of decorative stones, sand and salt extraction and represents only $0.3 \%$ of the output of the industrial sector. In the fourth quarter of 2009, real output decreased by $3.7 \%$ compared to the previous quarter and by $18.8 \%$ compared to the corresponding quarter of 2008. In 2009, real output went down by $14.9 \%$ (Table 1).

Mining and quarrying * Quarterly Index of Industrial
Production, $Q_{1} 2004-Q_{4} 2009$


Chart 2

### 3.2 Manufacturing

Manufacturing output, which covers the production of a wide range of goods, represents $89 \%$ of the output of the industrial sector. For analysis purposes, "Manufacturing" is broken down into the following broad groups:

- Sugar milling representing around $5 \%$ of manufacturing output
- EPZ (55\%)
- Non-EPZ (40\%)


Manufacturing output in the fourth quarter of 2009 increased by $11.8 \%$ compared to the previous quarter, and increased by $3.8 \%$ when compared to the corresponding quarter of 2008 (Table 1). In 2009, real output went up by $1.0 \%$. This is explained by increases of $15.0 \%$ and $0.8 \%$ in "Sugar Milling" and "Non-EPZ" respectively, partly offset by a zero growth in "EPZ". The performances of the EPZ and the Non-EPZ excluding "Sugar milling" by detailed industry group up to third quarter 2009 are analysed separately in Section 4. As mentioned in the introduction, due to incomplete data, indices for the fourth quarter of 2009 are provisional and published at section and broad group level only.

### 3.3 Electricity, gas and water supply

"Electricity, gas and water supply" accounts for around $11 \%$ of the output of the industrial sector. In the fourth quarter of 2009, real output of this sector increased by $10.4 \%$ when compared to the previous quarter and by $4.7 \%$ when compared to the corresponding quarter of 2008. In 2009, it is estimated to have moved up by $2.5 \%$ (Table $1)$.


## 4. Changes by broad group

### 4.1 EPZ

Real output of the EPZ increased by $4.9 \%$ in the fourth quarter of 2009 compared to the third quarter of 2009 and by $1.1 \%$ when compared to the corresponding quarter of 2008. In 2009, a zero growth was observed (Table 1).
Indices by main industrial grouping for the fourth quarter of 2009 are not available. However, an indication of the annual performance at this level can be obtained by comparing the detailed quarterly indices available for year ending third quarter 2009 to those for year ending third quarter 2008 (Table 3). Real output of "Wearing apparel", the most important industrial grouping within the EPZ, increased by $1.7 \%$ and that of "Textiles" decreased by $22.5 \%$. These two sub-groups account for $86.6 \%$ of the total weight allocated to the EPZ. The production of "Food products" and "Optical instruments, watches and clocks" receded by $9.2 \%$ and $0.7 \%$ respectively, whereas that of "Chemicals and man-made fibres" increased by $41.6 \%$. Details of changes at sub-group level are shown in Chart 7 .

EPZ - Quarterly Index of Industrial Production, $Q_{1} 2004=Q_{4} 2009$



Year and quarter

EPZ output: \% growth in the year up to 3rd quarter 2009


### 4.2 Non-EPZ excluding "Sugar milling"

The index for the Non-EPZ refers to large establishments only (see methodology at annex). Provisional estimate of the real output of large Non-EPZ establishments shows an increase of $20.5 \%$ in the fourth quarter of 2009 compared to the previous quarter and an increase of $5.2 \%$ compared to the corresponding quarter of 2008. In 2009, the index went up by $0.8 \%$ (Table 1).

An indication of the annual performance at sub-group level is obtained by comparing the detailed quarterly indices available for year ending third quarter 2009 to those for year ending third quarter 2008 (Table 4). Decreases were registered in "Food products excluding sugar" ( $-0.7 \%$ ), "Beverages and tobacco" ( $-16.5 \%$ ), "Wearing Apparel" ( $-35.9 \%$ ), "Publishing and printing" ( $-3.7 \%$ ), "Non-metallic mineral products" ( $-14.4 \%$ ) and "Basic metals and metal products" $(-13.6 \%)$. Increases were noted in "Textiles" $(+66.0 \%)$, "Chemicals and manmade fibres" $(+2.3 \%)$ and "Other manufacturing" $(+11.8 \%)$ as illustrated in chart 9.
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${ }^{1}$ revised

Table 3: Index of industrial production by main industrial grouping - EPZ, $Q_{1} 2003$ to $\mathbf{Q}_{3} 2009$

|  |
| :--- | :--- |


|  | Main industrial grouping |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EPZ, } \\ \text { manufacturing }{ }^{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Food products | Textiles | Wearing apparel | Chemicals and manmade fibres ${ }^{1}$ | Optical instruments, watches \& clocks | Other |  |
| NSIC Rev. 3 | 15-37 | 151-154 | 17 | 18 | 23-25 | 33 | 19-22, 26-32, 34-37 |  |
| Weight | 1000 | 40 | 99 | 767 | 26 | 11 | 57 |  |
| 2003 | 94.0 | 116.9 | 94.7 | 90.5 | 158.0 | 83.9 | 96.7 |  |
| 2004 | 87.6 | 135.5 | 87.2 | 80.0 | 124.1 | 152.0 | 108.8 |  |
| 2005 | 76.8 | 154.4 | 78.4 | 68.2 | 76.0 | 227.5 | 98.9 |  |
| 2006 | 80.3 | 215.7 | 79.6 | 69.7 | 83.1 | 311.6 | 103.4 |  |
| 2007 | 86.8 | 237.3 | 92.4 | 73.4 | 141.5 | 341.9 | 105.3 |  |
| 2008 | 91.3 | 254.9 | 93.1 | 73.8 | 276.3 | 392.2 | 109.4 |  |
| Quarterly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 Q1 | 84.4 | 107.4 | 84.2 | 83.0 | 96.6 | 87.6 | 80.8 |  |
| Q2 | 98.7 | 101.3 | 95.7 | 98.0 | 131.0 | 85.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Q3 | 95.8 | 127.1 | 103.4 | 91.0 | 169.5 | 77.3 | 95.6 |  |
| Q4 | 97.1 | 131.9 | 95.8 | 89.9 | 234.9 | 85.0 | 110.3 |  |
| 2004 Q1 | 87.0 | 117.2 | 83.1 | 80.7 | 125.2 | 100.3 | 115.3 |  |
| Q2 | 92.9 | 141.5 | 101.5 | 85.1 | 127.9 | 118.3 | 103.4 |  |
| Q3 | 84.2 | 153.5 | 85.3 | 74.8 | 126.1 | 184.6 | 110.4 | 1 |
| Q4 | 86.5 | 129.7 | 78.8 | 79.3 | 117.3 | 204.9 | 106.0 | $\checkmark$ |
| 2005 Q1 | 68.8 | 111.4 | 67.4 | 62.0 | 65.5 | 219.3 | 92.0 | 1 |
| Q2 | 84.7 | 163.7 | 81.6 | 76.0 | 89.4 | 239.0 | 109.6 |  |
| Q3 | 76.5 | 162.4 | 81.7 | 67.3 | 69.3 | 227.0 | 99.6 |  |
| Q4 | 77.2 | 180.2 | 82.9 | 67.5 | 79.9 | 224.8 | 94.4 |  |
| 2006 Q1 | 69.6 | 200.6 | 66.6 | 60.1 | 66.2 | 337.2 | 93.9 |  |
| Q2 | 85.8 | 232.9 | 78.9 | 76.2 | 78.7 | 300.1 | 95.9 |  |
| Q3 | 81.1 | 211.3 | 81.9 | 70.3 | 91.1 | 300.1 | 101.6 |  |
| Q4 | 84.8 | 217.8 | 90.9 | 72.2 | 96.3 | 309.1 | 122.2 |  |
| 2007 Q1 | 73.9 | 160.0 | 74.0 | 63.7 | 113.6 | 368.3 | 88.1 |  |
| Q2 | 93.1 | 226.4 | 98.4 | 79.9 | 121.4 | 308.2 | 123.4 |  |
| Q3 | 91.6 | 247.7 | 91.6 | 78.3 | 159.1 | 387.9 | 99.1 |  |
| Q4 | 88.7 | 315.0 | 105.5 | 71.7 | 171.9 | 303.3 | 110.3 |  |
| 2008 Q1 | 82.7 | 247.4 | 89.2 | 65.2 | 285.2 | 345.6 | 97.8 |  |
| Q2 | 96.6 | 269.2 | 109.8 | 77.2 | 261.2 | 454.8 | 122.6 |  |
| Q3 | 91.5 | 292.1 | 84.4 | 76.2 | 203.6 | 379.2 | 112.6 |  |
| Q4 | 94.4 | 211.1 | 88.9 | 76.6 | 355.1 | 389.4 | 104.7 |  |
| 2009 Q1 | 79.4 | 180.8 | 71.0 | 64.1 | 290.9 | 328.8 | 104.6 |  |
| Q2 | 99.2 | 322.4 | 76.7 | 81.5 | 328.6 | 442.3 | 110.1 |  |
| Q3 | 91.0 | 306.3 | 64.9 | 73.2 | 331.0 | 312.7 | 128.0 |  |
| \% change, latest quarter over: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| previous quarter | -8.3 | -5.0 | -15.3 | -10.2 | 0.7 | -29.3 | 16.2 |  |
| same quarter a year ago | -0.6 | 4.9 | -23.1 | -3.9 | 62.6 | -17.5 | 13.6 |  |
| \% growth in output in the year to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd quarter 2009 | 1.2 | -9.2 | -22.5 | 1.7 | 41.6 | -0.7 | 0.9 |  |

Table 4: Index of industrial production by main industrial grouping - Non-EPZ ${ }^{1}$ (exc. Sugar), $Q_{1} 2003$ to $Q_{3} 2009$


## ANNEX

## Quarterly Index of Industrial Production (QIIP) - Methodology

## 1 Introduction

The Index of Industrial Production shows the movement of the volume of output of the Industrial Sector. This index was calculated annually and published in the Digest of Industrial Statistics. Following the needs expressed by various institutions, both public and private, the Central Statistics Office decided to compile and disseminate the index on a quarterly basis. The compilation and dissemination of the Quarterly Index of Industrial Production is also one of the requirements of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) towards graduation to the Special Data Dissemination Standard (SDDS).

## 2 Objectives

The Quarterly Index of Industrial Production (QIIP) is one of the most important industrial short-term indicators which aim at measuring, on a quarterly basis, the ups and downs of the volume of industrial output with a special focus on detecting, as early as possible, the turning points of the business cycle. This enables planners, decision makers and the business community at large to be aware of any sign of change in the progress of the economy in order to take appropriate and timely policy measures.

At the office level, the index based on "hard" data, provides useful and reliable inputs for the improvement of the annual production estimates and forecasts as well as estimates of quarterly value added for the Industrial Sector.

## 3 Concept/Definition

The basic concept of the Index of Industrial Production is the measurement of the change in real value added at basic prices. Given that value added is defined as the difference between output and input, the compilation of the index, on a quarterly basis, is faced with practical difficulties in obtaining the data required on inputs and outputs within a reasonable period. In the absence of detailed data for most of the different industrial groups, an approximation of the index is based on change in deflated turnover, physical output or other indicators of change in real value added generated by industrial enterprises. The indicators used by main industrial grouping/sector are as follows:

| Sector/Industrial grouping | Indicators used |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mining and quarrying | Value added deflated by appropriate deflators |
| Industry groups within manufacturing (excluding sugar milling) | Use of proxy indicators <br> i. Volume of production <br> ii. Employment <br> iii. Turnover data deflated by appropriate deflators (for most of the industry groups) <br> iv. Consumption of raw materials |
| Sugar milling | Value added deflated using the double deflation method. However, until final data are obtained quarterly changes are based on proportions of the deflated annual estimate/forecast. The proportions are computed from the latest quarterly cost structure of milling activities (see sections 7 and 8). |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | Volume of sales as proxy indicator. |

The deflators used are the following price indices at detailed level, wherever possible:
i. Producer Price Index (PPI)
ii. Export Price Index (EPI)
iii. Import Price Index (IPI)
iv. Construction Price Index (CoPI)
v. Consumers Price Index (CPI)
vi. Wage Rate Index (WRI)

## 4 Scope/Classification

The Quarterly Index of Industrial Production covers the Industrial Sector, which comprises:
Mining and quarrying (NSIC Section C),
Manufacturing (NSIC Section D), and
Electricity, Gas and Water Supply (NSIC Section E)
The activity classification used is the National Standard Industrial Classification of Economic Activities (NSIC) which is compatible to ISIC Rev. 3 recommended by the United Nations. As regards Manufacturing, the index is compiled separately for the EPZ and Non-EPZ sectors. However, for the Non-EPZ sector, because of the non-availability of basic data on small establishments, the index can be considered to refer to large establishments only.

## 5 Compilation practices

The weights have been derived (separately for EPZ and Non-EPZ within the manufacturing sector) from value added at basic prices by detailed industry group (mostly at 5-digit level of activity classification) compiled from the 2002 Census of Economic Activities. The index is calculated for each of the lowest level of activity classification and aggregation to the broader level is done as a weighted arithmetic average of the lowest level indices. The reference period for the calculation of the indices is 2002.

As mentioned previously, use is extensively made of proxy indicators for the calculation of the index and one such indicator is deflated turnover data. Turnover data are mainly obtained from the VAT (Value Added Tax) Department, which is a very important source of secondary data. The sources of data by industry are as follows:

| Sector/Industrial grouping | Data sources |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mining and quarrying | - Survey of establishments <br> - Deflators used: PPI and WRI |
| Industry groups within manufacturing (excluding sugar milling) | - Turnover data from VAT Department <br> - Trade statistics <br> - Quarterly Stock Survey <br> - Quarterly Survey of Employment among EPZ and Pioneer enterprises <br> - Sales of excisable goods from Customs Department <br> - Deflators used: PPI, EPI and IPI |
| Sugar milling | - Data on income and expenditure from Mauritius Chamber of Agriculture <br> - Deflators used: PPI, CoPI and CPI |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | Returns from CEB, CWA and Independent Power Producers (IPPs) |

## 7 Problems/Constraints/Data quality

The practical difficulties in compiling an ideal index showing the evolution of value added at constant prices lead to the use of a number of approximation methods which are listed at section 3. Each of the methods has a number of constraints, the main ones being:

## Deflated turnover:

- quality of data from VAT Department. The data refer to a mix of formal "large" responding enterprises/establishments. The output of secondary activities of an enterprise are included in turnover data corresponding to the main activity of the enterprise;
- time-lag between production and sales may lead to a late identification of a turning point in the business cycle;
- ignorance of changes in stocks gives a false picture of true production. However, based on available information from the Quarterly Stock Survey, adjustments are made, wherever possible, to take account of changes in stocks;
- the quality of the index is subject to the precision and relevance of the different price indices used for deflation.
- assumption based on a fixed ratio of value added to gross output when, in fact, the ratio may change as a result of technological changes, productivity changes as well as seasonal variation in the production structure
Consumption of raw materials:
- involves the assumption that output is constant per unit of materials used.


## Employment:

- does not take account of changes in labour productivity. Although, in the short term, it is reasonable to assume that labour productivity is relatively constant, this is not true in the long term;


## Volume of production:

- does not take account of quality changes

For sugar milling, final quarterly real value added is computed from final annual accounts which are available with a lag of two years. Until then, the deflated annual estimate/forecast of sugar production and the latest quarterly cost structure of sugar milling activities are used to compute the quarterly estimates. These may be subject to large revisions when final data are obtained with a lag of two years.

## 8 Appropriateness of the QIIP

In spite of the above constraints/weaknesses, it is observed that the index shows relative consistency and is of reliable quality for the measurement of quarterly and other changes. However, great care should be taken when interpreting small changes that may be insignificant at the more detailed level.

Users are also cautioned in the use of the overall index which includes "Sugar Milling". For the latest two years, the overall index is affected by the preliminary methodology used for estimating quarterly changes in "Sugar Milling" which is based on fixed proportions of the deflated annual estimate/forecast (see section 7). Moreover, because of climatic conditions, the forecasted annual figure itself is subject to large deviations.

## 9 Index calculation

The QIIP is calculated according to a modified Laspeyre's index and the formula is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{it}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{io}}\right)}{\sum \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{X} 100 \\
& \text { with } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad= \\
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad
\end{array} \quad \text { index for quarter } \mathrm{t} \\
& \left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{it}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{io}}\right)
\end{aligned}=\begin{aligned}
& \text { is the growth for activity } \mathrm{i} \\
& \text { relative to the base year as estimated by an appropriate proxy } \\
& \text { indicator }
\end{aligned}
$$

