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## CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

## A. Productivity indicators

## 1. Real output

Real output is defined as value added at constant basic prices. Value added is the value of any industry's final output less its purchases of intermediate products, raw materials and services. Value added is also equal to the amount available for distribution to the factors of production in the form of wages and salaries, profits, allowance for depreciation, interest and dividends.

Output index shows the rate of change in production as compared to a chosen base period.

$$
\text { Output index }=\frac{\text { Value added (constant price) in year } \mathrm{n}}{\text { Value added in base year }} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad 100
$$

## 2. Employment/Labour input

Employment/Labour input is most appropriately measured by hours worked and its price by average compensation per hour. However, due to lack of data, the total number of persons engaged, defined as employers, own account workers, contributing family workers and employees in any type of economic activity is used. Prior to 2000, employment for year n was calculated as the average of employment at June of year ( $n$ ) and June of year ( $n+1$ ). As from 2000, average employment for a given year is available and thus the data has been used for the computation of labour input.

The labour input index shows the rate of change in employment.

$$
\text { Labour input index }=\underset{\text { Average number of persons engaged in year } \mathrm{n}}{\text { Average number of persons engaged in base year }} \mathrm{x} \quad 100
$$

## 3. Capital input

In the absence of data on services provided by capital, an estimate of stock of fixed capital is used. Capital refers to the net stock of investment in reproducible fixed assets. Reproducible fixed assets are investments in residential and non-residential building (excluding land), infrastructural work, machinery and equipment.

The standard Perpetual Inventory Method (PIM) has been used for the estimation of the net Capital Stock. Further details on the PIM approach are given in the section on estimates of capital stock.

Capital input index shows the rate of change in capital. This estimate uses net capital stock at constant prices.

$$
\text { Capital input index }=\frac{\text { Stock of fixed capital in year } n}{\text { Stock of fixed capital in base year }} \times 100
$$

## 4. Multifactor input

The multifactor input is a weighted combination of inputs, namely labour and capital. Part of compensation of employee in value added is used to weigh labour and the remaining is used to weigh capital.

## 5. Labour productivity

Labour productivity is conventionally measured as the ratio of real output to labour input. Although this measure relates output to the number of employees, it does not measure the specific contribution of labour as a single factor of production. Rather, it reflects the joint effects of many influences, including new technology, capital investment, capacity utilisation, energy use, and managerial skills, as well as the efforts of the workforce.

Labour productivity index shows the rate of change in output per person engaged.

$$
\text { Labour Productivity Index }=\frac{\text { Output index }}{\text { Labour input index }} \times 100
$$

## 6. Capital productivity

Capital productivity is the ratio of real output to stock of fixed capital used in the production process. This index should be interpreted with care since partial measures can be very misleading if taken alone, as they include amongst other factors, the effects of the substitution of one resource for another, such as capital for labour.

The capital productivity index shows the rate of change in output per unit of capital.

$$
\text { Capital Productivity Index }=\frac{\text { Output index }}{\text { Capital input index }} \text { x } 100
$$

## 7. Multifactor/Total Factor productivity

The limitation of partial productivity measures such as labour and capital, is that they attribute to one factor of production changes in efficiency that are attributable to other factors. Multifactor productivity (MFP) reflects many influences including qualitative factors such as better management and improved quality of inputs through training and technology. MFP index shows the rate of change in "productive efficiency" and is obtained as the ratio of output to multifactor input, that is a weighted combination of labour and capital inputs.

$$
\text { MFP index }=\frac{\text { Output index }}{\text { Multifactor input index }} \times 100
$$

$A(t)=\frac{Q(t)}{\{W L(t) \times L(t)\}+\{W K(t) \times K(t)\}} \times 100$ where
A ( t$)=$ Multifactor productivity index in time t
$Q(t)=$ Output index in time $t$
$\mathrm{WL}(\mathrm{t})=$ Labour's input share in time t (ratio of compensation of employees to value added)
$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{t})=$ Labour input index in time t
$\mathbf{W K}(\mathbf{t})=\mathbf{1}$ - $\mathbf{W L}(\mathbf{t})$
$K(t)=$ Capital input index in time $t$

## 8. Capital-labour ratio

The Capital-labour ratio gives the proportion of stock of fixed capital to labour inputs. If the ratio increases, capital deepening takes place whilst, when it declines capital widening occurs.

$$
\text { Capital-labour ratio }=\frac{\text { Real fixed capital utilised in an industry }}{\text { Number of persons engaged in the industry }}
$$

## 9. Capital-output ratio

The capital-output ratio represents the units of capital required to produce one unit of output. This ratio indicates how efficiently investment is contributing to economic growth.

## B. ECONOMIC PRODUCTIVITY MEASURES ACCORDING TO THE RAMSAY PRODUCTIVITY MODELS (RAPMODS)

## Economic Productivity is conceptualized as follows:

It is the units of monetary value achieved as "Output" or "Value Added" by a conversion system such as manufacturing, mining, processing, service, government and the like, covering all economic systems, for unit monetary value of input of any specific resource or a set of resources or aggregate of all input resources consumed by the conversion system.

The Economic Productivity Measures outlined in the RAPMODS System are based on both System Output (Gross Output) and System Value Added (Value Added).

## 1. Total / Overall Productivity Measure (TPM / OPM)

Total / Overall Productivity Measure (TPM / OPM) measures the output (Gross Output / Value Added) achieved per unit value of Total System Input (TSI) or all input resources.
$\begin{aligned} \text { All Input Resources }= & \text { Intermediate Consumption }+ \text { Compensation of Employees }+ \\ & \text { Other Taxes }\end{aligned}$

Total Productivity Measure $=\frac{\text { Gross Output }}{\text { All Input Resources }}$

Overall Productivity Measure $=\ldots$ Value Added
All Input Resources

## 2. Factor Productivity Measure (FPM)

Factor Productivity Measure is the output achieved per unit of currency spent on a specific item of factor input. The Factor Productivity Measure of Compensation of Employees is defined as the output (Gross Output or Value Added) produced per unit value spent as Compensation of Employees.

Factor Productivity Measure of Compensation = $\qquad$
of Employees (FPM Comp. based on Go) Compensation of employees
Factor Productivity Measure of Compensation $=$
of Employees (FPM Comp. based on VA)

## 3. Productivity of Intermediate Consumption ( $\mathrm{Z}_{1} / \mathrm{Z}_{2}$ )

Productivity of Intermediate Consumption measures the Output (Gross Output or Value Added) achieved per unit value spent as Intermediate Consumption.

Productivity of Intermediate Consumption $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)=\quad$ Gross Output
Intermediate Consumption

Productivity of Intermediate Consumption $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)=\quad$ Value Added
Intermediate Consumption

## C. Competitiveness indicators

## 1. Labour cost index

Labour cost, given by compensation of employees, as defined for National Accounts purposes, includes wages and salaries in cash and kind, bonus, overtime and social contribution incurred by employers.

## 2. Unit labour cost index (ULC)

Unit labour cost is the remuneration of labour (compensation of employees) to produce one unit of output. It is computed as the ratio of the labour cost index to an index of production. The index shows the rate of change in labour cost per unit of output.

$$
\text { Unit labour cost index }=\frac{\text { Labour cost index }}{\text { Output index }} 100 \text { or } \frac{\text { Average compensation index }}{\text { Labour Productivity index }} \times 100
$$

For Competitiveness purposes, the exchange rate effect has to be taken into account. ULC is therefore computed both in local currency and in US dollar.

$$
\text { ULC index (US \$) = } \frac{\text { ULC index (MUR) }}{\text { Exchange rate index of MUR/ US \$ }}
$$

## 3. Hourly Labour cost

Hourly Labour cost is the ratio of compensation to total hours worked, inclusive of overtime. Compensation of employees comprises wages \& salaries in cash and in kind, bonus, overtime and social contribution incurred by employers. The source of data is the Survey of Employment, Earnings and Hours of work.

## 4. Exchange rate

The exchange rate quoted at a certain time is the nominal exchange rate. Although many international transactions take place in US dollars, it is often necessary to get an indication of the average movement of the local currency against that of its major trading partners. This is desirable as the exchange rate may appreciate against some and depreciate against others. The effective exchange rate shows the trade-weighted movement of the national currency against those of its main trading partners. A net effect in nominal terms is obtained as it combines both appreciations and depreciations which might have occurred between the local currency and those of its respective trading partners.

## 5. Export ratios

### 5.1 Openness

The openness of the economy is given by the ratio of total trade "exports of goods \& services + imports of goods \& services" to GDP.

$$
\text { Openness }=\frac{\text { Exports of goods \& services+ Imports of goods \& services }}{\text { Domestic production (GDP) }} \text { x } 100
$$

### 5.2 Net export ratio

$$
\text { Net export ratio }=\frac{\text { Exports of goods \& services }- \text { Imports of goods \& services }}{\text { Domestic production (GDP) }} \times 100
$$

If the net export ratio declines it could mean
(i) deterioration in the terms of trade
(ii) structural shift in production from less import intensive to higher import intensive industries i.e. capital intensive technology.
(iii) export markets are being eroded
(iv) export incentives have been reduced.

### 5.3 Net export to export ratios

Net export to export ratio = Exports of goods \& services - Imports of goods \& services x 100 Exports of goods \& services

If the net export to export ratio declines it could mean
(i) deterioration in the terms of trade
(ii) structural shift in production from less import intensive to higher import intensive industries i.e. capital intensive technology.
(iii) higher value added to relatively lower value added activities
(iv) higher import intensity of exports.

### 5.4 Export growth, market growth and market penetration (evolution of market share)

If the share of a country's (Mauritius) export growth of a product or service (say Tshirts) in the market in which it is sold, equals the growth of the imports of the buying country, it can be said that the exporting country (Mauritius) is maintaining its share of the market growth. If the growth is higher, the exporting country (Mauritius) is penetrating the importing country's market. On the other hand, if the growth is lower, the exporting country is losing its market share.

## D. Estimates of capital stock

## 1. The Perpetual Inventory Method (PIM)

The Perpetual Inventory Method (PIM) has been used to produce estimates of the value of the stock of capital assets used in the production process. Capital assets refer to tangible reproducible fixed assets which include building (excluding land), infrastructural work, machinery and equipment. The PIM requires current price estimates of Gross Domestic Fixed Capital Formation and price indices over many years, and assumptions about the expected lifetime of the respective assets as shown at paragraph 3.

The PIM produces annual estimates of gross and net capital stock at constant and current prices by accumulating past flows of expenditure on Gross Domestic Fixed Capital Formation (GDFCF).

## 2. Consumption of fixed capital

Consumption of fixed capital is a cost of production. It may be defined in general terms as the decline, during the course of the accounting period, in the current value of the stock of fixed assets owned and used by a producer as a result of physical deterioration, normal obsolescence or normal accidental damage.

Gross capital stock is the accumulation of past investment flows less retirements before deduction of any allowances for consumption of fixed capital.

Net capital stock is gross capital stock less accumulated capital consumption on items forming the gross capital stock.

Annual estimates of consumption of fixed capital have been derived using the Straight Line Method. The straight line method is recommended in the System of National Accounts (SNA). The straight line depreciation function assumes a linear decline in efficiency, that is, it exhibits the same loss every year until the service life ends when efficiency declines to zero.

## 3. Assumption used for mean asset life by type

## Type of asset Mean asset life

A.Construction Work

Residential building Age

Non residential building
30 years

Oher
40 years
Other construction work
60 years

## B. Transport equipment according to type / sector

Motor car
8 years

Other transport equipment by sector

| Agriculture | 15 years |
| :--- | :--- |
| Manufacturing | 8 years |
| Air / Sea Transport | 20 years |
| Other sectors | 12 years |

C. Other machinery and equipment by sector

| Agriculture | 15 years |
| :--- | :---: |
| Manufacturing | 8 years |
| Financial services | 5 years |
| Public utilities | 20 years |
| Other sectors | 12 years |

Flow Chart of the PIM process (Perpetual Inventory Method)


## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Productivity and competitiveness indicators, 2000-2010

Productivity is "what you get out for what you put in". It expresses the relationship between output of goods and services or real output and the various inputs required for production. The two main inputs are labour and capital.

Labour productivity is the ratio of real output to labour input whereas capital productivity is the ratio of real output to the amount of fixed capital used in production. However, these two indicators are restricted since they show the influence of only one factor at a time (labour or capital) on real output. An improvement over these partial indicators is the Multifactor Productivity (MFP) which takes into account the simultaneous influences of several factors such as better management, improved quality of inputs and higher quality of goods. MFP is measured as the ratio of real output to a weighted combination of labour and capital inputs.

The Unit Labour Cost (ULC) is defined as the remuneration of labour per unit of output. ULC can also be expressed as the ratio of average compensation to labour productivity. A change in ULC indicates how improvement in productivity offsets increases in average compensation.

## Indicators for the total economy

Table I below presents the growth rate of the various productivity and competitiveness indices for the total economy.

Table I: Productivity and other related indicators for the total economy

| Indicator |  | Growth rate (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Annual Average2000-2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Output (GDP at basic prices) | 4.4 | 3.1 | 4.4 |
| 2 | GDP at market prices | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.3 |
| 3 | GDP per capita (market prices) | 3.3 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| 4 | Labour input | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.3 |
| 5 | Capital input | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.1 |
| 6 | Capital - Output ratio | 0.7 | 2.6 | 0.6 |
| 7 | Capital - Labour ratio | 3.7 | 5.2 | 2.8 |
| 8 | Labour productivity | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| 9 | Capital productivity | -0.7 | -2.5 | -0.6 |
| 10 | Multifactor productivity | -0.1 | -0.9 | -0.1 |
| 11 | Average compensation of employees | 7.1 | 5.6 | 3.0 |
| 12 | Unit Labour Cost (Mauritian Rupees) | 4.1 | 2.9 | 0.9 |
| 13 | Unit Labour Cost (US Dollars) | 2.4 | -8.6 | 4.3 |

## Output and Inputs

Output, as measured by the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), is the aggregate money of goods and services produced within a country out of economic activity during a specific period, usually a year. From 2000 to 2010, GDP in real terms grew on average by $4.4 \%$ per annum. The growth rate for 2010 was $4.4 \%$ higher than the growth of $3.1 \%$ registered in 2009.

The GDP per capita at market prices is used as an indicator of the standard of living of the population. With an annual growth of $0.8 \%$ in the population and $4.0 \%$ in GDP at market prices, GDP per capita grew by $3.3 \%$ per annum during the period 2000 to 2010 .

During the period 2000 to 2010, whilst real GDP at basic prices increased by an average of 4.4\% per annum, the two main inputs required for production, namely labour and capital witnessed positive growths of $1.4 \%$ and $5.1 \%$ respectively. The capital-labour ratio, defined as the ratio of the stock of fixed capital to labour input, grew by $3.7 \%$ showing that capital deepening is taking place.

## Productivity Indicators

## Labour productivity

Labour productivity is defined as real GDP per worker. The labour productivity index improved from 100.0 in 2000 to 133.3 in 2010, giving an average annual growth of $2.9 \%$.

In 2010, labour productivity grew at a lower rate of $2.1 \%$ compared to $2.6 \%$ in 2009. This was the result of a GDP growth of $4.4 \%$ in 2010 compared to $3.1 \%$ in 2009, coupled with a hjgher growth of $2.3 \%$ in labour input in 2010 against $0.5 \%$ in 2009.

## Capital productivity

Capital productivity is defined as real GDP per unit of capital. During the period 2000 to 2010, the index of capital productivity declined at an average annual rate of $0.7 \%$ from 100.0 in 2000 to 93.0 in 2010.

In 2010, the capital productivity declined further by $0.6 \%$ after a decline of $2.5 \%$ in 2009 . The $0.6 \%$ fall in 2010 was explained by a higher growth in capital input (5.1\%) compared to GDP (4.4\%).

## Multifactor productivity (MFP)

During the period under review, the MFP index, defined as the rate of change in "productive efficiency" witnessed an annual growth of $0.1 \%$. In 2010, MFP witnessed a negative growth of $0.1 \%$ after a decline of $0.9 \%$ in 2009.

## Other Productivity Indicators

Economic Productivity Measures as per the RAPMODS System ${ }^{1}$, based on Gross Output and Value Added for the different sectors of the economy have also been worked out (Tables A. 7 and A.8).

## Average compensation and Unit Labour Cost (ULC)

Unit labour cost measures the remuneration of labour per unit of output. It is affected by changes in both average compensation of employees and labour productivity. Between 2000 and 2010, average compensation of employees increased by $7.1 \%$ annually whilst labour productivity grew by $2.9 \%$. The higher growth in average compensation of employees compared to that of labour productivity resulted in an average annual growth of $4.1 \%$ in ULC. In 2010, ULC grew by $0.9 \%$ compared to $2.9 \%$ in 2009.

## Indicators for the Manufacturing Sector

Table II shows the main indicators for the manufacturing sector.
Table II: Productivity and other related indicators for the manufacturing sector.

| Indicator |  | Growth rate (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Annual average |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| 1 | Output (Value added at constant <br> prices) | Labour input | 1.6 | 2.1 |
|  | Capital input | -2.1 | 2.9 |  |
| 4 | Capital - Output ratio | -6.1 | -1.1 |  |
| 5 | Capital - Labour ratio | 0.0 | 0.3 | -3.5 |
| 6 | Labour productivity | 4.2 | -1.8 | -6.2 |
| 7 | Capital productivity | 3.8 | 6.8 | -2.4 |
| 8 | Multifactor productivity | -0.4 | 8.7 | 4.1 |
| 9 | Average compensation of employees | 0.9 | 1.8 | 6.6 |
| 10 | Unit Labour Cost (Mauritian Rupees) | 7.9 | 3.5 | 6.8 |
| 11 | Unit Labour Cost (US Dollars) | 4.0 | 8.4 | 7.1 |

[^0]
## Output and inputs

From 2000 to 2010, real output in the manufacturing sector grew on average by $1.6 \%$ annually. In 2010, the sector registered a growth of $2.9 \%$, higher than the $2.1 \%$ growth registered in 2009.

For the period 2000 to 2010, labour input declined by $2.1 \%$ annually whereas capital input grew by an average annual rate of $2.0 \%$.

In 2010, labour input further declined by $1.1 \% 2009$ after a fall of $6.1 \%$ in 2009. On the other hand, capital input decreased by $3.5 \%$ in 2010 compared to a growth of $0.3 \%$ in 2009.

## Productivity trends

During the period 2000 to 2010, labour productivity in the manufacturing sector registered an average annual growth of $3.8 \%$ while capital productivity declined by an average of $0.4 \%$ annually. This was the result of growths of $1.6 \%$ and $2.0 \%$ in real output and capital input respectively and a decline of $2.1 \%$ in labour input. During the same period, multifactor productivity increased by an average of $0.9 \%$ per annum.

## 1. APPROACH TO PRODUCTIVITY MEASUREMENT

### 1.1 The relevance of productivity measurement

Productivity measurement makes use of ratios calculated by comparing output to one input or a combination of inputs in a particular industry, sector or for the entire economy. The ratio of output to labour or capital gives partial productivity indicators, and the ratio of output to all inputs is termed total factor productivity (TFP). However, as data is not available to estimate all inputs, a less specific term, multifactor productivity (MFP) is used.

The productivity ratio can increase in the following five ways:
(i) Output increases while inputs stay constant.
(ii) Output increases while inputs decline.
(iii) Output stays constant while inputs decline.
(iv) Both output and input decreases, with input decreasing at a higher rate.
(v) Both output and input increases, with output increasing at a higher rate.

For countries with growing workforces or high unemployment rates options (i) and (v) are usually preferred as they do not involve reductions in input and therefore does not pose a threat to employment. Most cost reduction exercises usually entail the retrenchment of labour, as it is a mobile and therefore vulnerable resource.

### 1.2 The Productivity process

Fig1.1 The Productivity Process


Productivity improvement is brought about in many ways. For instance, producing the "right products and services" (effectiveness) will lead to an increase in demand, which usually means better utilisation of capacity. Productivity may also be enhanced through more competent management or better allocation of existing resources, resulting in a higher rate of conversion (efficiency) or greater use (utilisation) of these resources.

### 1.3 Coverage

The series on productivity and competitiveness indicators relate to all production units including small units operating with nine or fewer workers. The indices have been computed using Gross Domestic Product and Value Added figures based on the results of the 2007 Census of Economic Activities. This publication presents data available as at end of March 2011 on the performance of the
(a) Total economy
(b) Manufacturing sector and
(c) Export Oriented Enterprises (consisting of all those enterprises, formerly operating with an EPZ certificate and those enterprises manufacturing goods for exports) and its two sub-sectors, textile and non - textile.

### 1.4 Caution to users

Productivity statistics are derived from ratios, therefore they should be used and interpreted with caution. A rise in output per unit of a single input will measure the combined effect of a change in the efficiency with which all resources have been used. For example, output per worker will rise if employees are given facilities of professional training in their respective fields as well as motivation and encouragement on the part of their managers.

## 2. INDICATORS FOR THE TOTAL ECONOMY

### 2.1 Structure of the economy - 2000 to 2010

From 2000 to 2010, while comparing the structure of the economy, a gradual shift from agriculture to the service sectors is observed. The share of the agricultural, hunting, forestry and fishing sector in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) which was $6.5 \%$ in 2000 went down to $3.6 \%$ in 2010. The manufacturing sector also experienced a fall, from $22.5 \%$ in 2000 to $18.3 \%$ in 2010 . On the other hand, "Hotels and restaurants", a major component of the Tourism sector, witnessed a rise from $6.0 \%$ to $7.0 \%$ during the same period. The share of "Real estate, renting and business activities" which was $8.4 \%$ in 2000 increased to $12.3 \%$ in 2010. "Financial intermediation" which comprises mainly insurance and banking including offshore banks experienced a major rise from $8.8 \%$ in 2000 to $10.0 \%$ in 2010.

Table III: Contribution of different industry group to the economy

|  | Percentage |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry group | 2000 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 6.5 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| Sugarcane | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| Other | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Manufacturing | 22.5 | 19.4 | 19.1 | 18.3 |
| Sugar | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Export Oriented Enterprises | 11.4 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| Other | 10.3 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 11.5 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Construction | 5.3 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles and personal and household goods | 11.5 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 11.8 |
| Wholesale and retail trade | 11.0 | 10.9 | 10.2 | 10.6 |
| Other | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 6.0 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 7.0 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 12.7 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 9.5 |
| Financial intermediation | 8.8 | 9.8 | 10.2 | 10.0 |
| Insurance | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Banks | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| Other | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | 8.4 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 12.3 |
| Owner occupied dwellings | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Other | 4.2 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.9 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 6.2 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| Education | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| Health and social work | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

### 2.2 Output and inputs

Real output of an industry is measured by value added at constant prices. At total economy level, real output is hence equal to Gross Domestic Product at constant prices which indicate the total volume of goods and services produced in the country in a specific year. From 2000 to 2010, GDP in real terms increased at an annual rate of $4.4 \%$. Growth rates of real output by industry group and for the whole economy for the period of 2004 to 2010 are given in table A.1.

Labour input measured here by the number of persons engaged, registered an average annual growth of $1.4 \%$ during the period 2000 to 2010 while capital input which refers to the net stock of investment in reproducible fixed assets increased by an average of $5.1 \%$ annually. Changes in labour input and capital input for years 2004 to 2010 by sector and for the whole economy are given in table A. 2 and table A. 3 respectively.

### 2.3 Trends in labour productivity

Labour productivity for the total economy, that is Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per worker, is calculated by dividing GDP (at constant prices) by the total number of persons engaged. An increase in GDP per worker can result when GDP increases at a higher rate than employment and a decline can occur when the same GDP is produced with more labour input.

Figure 2.1 - Labour productivity and its components - Total economy, 2000 to 2010


From the above figure, it is observed that the labour productivity index has increased continuously from 100.0 in 2000 to 133.3 in 2010. The average annual growth in labour productivity for the period under study works out to $2.9 \%$.

In 2010, labour productivity grew at a lower rate of $2.1 \%$ compared to $2.6 \%$ in 2009. This was the result of a GDP growth of $4.4 \%$ in 2010 compared to $3.1 \%$ in 2009, coupled with a higher growth of $2.3 \%$ in labour input in 2010 against $0.5 \%$ in 2009. Trends in labour productivity during the period 2004 to 2010 for the economy as a whole and also for the different sectors are shown in table A. 4 .

### 2.4 Trends in capital productivity

Capital productivity is the ratio of real output to the stock of fixed capital used in the production process. For the total economy, it is measured by dividing Gross Domestic Product (at constant prices) in a particular year by the fixed capital stock (at constant prices) used to produce it. Capital productivity indicates how efficiently capital assets are being used.

Figure 2.2 - Capital productivity and its components - Total economy, 2000 to 2010


From 2000 to 2010, capital productivity declined at an average annual rate of $0.7 \%$ with the index dropping from 100.0 in 2000 to 93.0 in 2010. In 2010, the capital productivity declined further by $0.6 \%$ after a decline of $2.5 \%$ in 2009. The $0.6 \%$ fall in 2010 is explained by a higher growth in capital input (5.1\%) compared to GDP (4.4\%). Trends in capital productivity by industry group and for the whole economy are given in table A. 5 for the years 2004 to 2010.

### 2.5 Capital-labour ratio and Capital-output ratio

The capital-output ratio represents the units of capital required to produce one unit of output. The capital-output ratio shows an annual increase of $0.7 \%$ from 2000 to 2010 with the index improving from 100.0 in 2000 to reach 107.5 in 2010.

The capital-labour ratio is defined as the ratio of the stock of fixed capital to labour inputs. The index of the capital-labour ratio has increased from 100.0 in 2000 to 143.2 in 2010, representing an annual growth of $3.7 \%$.

In 2010, the capital-output ratio witnessed a rise of $0.6 \%$ compared to an increase of $2.6 \%$ in 2009. On the other hand, the capital-labour ratio grew at a rate of $2.8 \%$ in 2010 compared to $5.2 \%$ in 2009. (Table B 1.2)

Figure 2.3 - Capital-labour ratio and capital-output ratio - Total economy, 2000 to 2010


### 2.6 Trends in multifactor productivity

Multifactor productivity (MFP) measures output against the combined effect of a multiplicity of factors of which capital and labour are the most important ones. The other factors which could be included are better quality products and services, economies of scale, improved access to foreign markets, better management and improved training.

Figure 2.4 - Multifactor productivity and its components - Total economy, 2000 to 2010


Between 2000 and 2010, MFP decreased by an average of $0.1 \%$ per annum. In 2010, MFP registered a negative growth of $0.1 \%$ after a decline of $0.9 \%$ in 2009. Table A. 6 shows the trends in multifactor productivity by industry group and total economy for the period 2004 to 2010.

### 2.7 Comparison of productivity trends

Figure 2.5 shows the trends in the labour, capital and multifactor productivity indices for the period 2000 to 2010. Over the years, whilst labour productivity grew by $2.9 \%$ annually, capital and multifactor productivity witnessed negative annual growths of $0.7 \%$ and $0.1 \%$ respectively. (Table B 1.1)

Figure 2.5 - Capital, labour and multifactor productivity - Total economy, 2000 to 2010


### 2.8 Trends in Unit Labour Cost (ULC)

Figure 2.6 - Unit Labour Cost - Total economy, 2000 to 2010


Unit labour cost is affected by changes in both average compensation of employees and labour productivity. The figure above shows the trend followed by the ULC index. From 2000 to 2010,

ULC grew by $4.1 \%$ annually mainly due to a higher growth of $7.1 \%$ in average compensation of employees against the $2.9 \%$ increase in labour productivity. (Table B 1.2)

### 2.9 Growth accounting

The contribution of different factors to economic growth is determined by the growth accounting technique.

Fig 2.7-Contribution of labour, capital and total factor productivity to GDP growth 2000-2010


Between 2000 and 2010, the contribution of labour to the $4.4 \%$ annual growth in Gross Domestic Product works out to $11 \%$ and that of capital to $77 \%$. The remaining $12 \%$ represents the contribution of "Total Factor Productivity" (TFP), which includes qualitative factors such as training, management and technology. It is to be noted that during the period under study, labour grew by $1.4 \%$ and capital by $5.1 \%$. Growth in TFP is that part of change in output that has not been explained by corresponding changes in labour and capital inputs.

| Factors | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: |
| Labour | $11 \%$ |
| Capital | $77 \%$ |
| TFP | $12 \%$ |

## 3. INDICATORS FOR THE MANUFACTURING SECTOR

### 3.1 Background

The contribution of the manufacturing sector to GDP decreased from $22.5 \%$ in 2000 to $18.3 \%$ in 2010. In 2010, employment in the manufacturing sector stood at 114,500 ( $20 \%$ of total employment) compared to 138,900 (29 \% of total employment) in 2000.

The main activities in the manufacturing sector are grouped under: (i) exports oriented enterprises (ii) Sugar milling (including electricity produced by sugar factories as by-products but excluding electricity produced by the Independent Power Producers (IPPs), and (iii) Other manufacturing which comprises goods mostly meant for the local market. These groups contributed respectively $6.5 \%, 0.3 \%$ and $11.5 \%$ to GDP in 2010.

### 3.2 Output and inputs

From 2000 to 2010, real output in the manufacturing sector grew on average by $1.6 \%$ annually. In 2010, the sector registered a growth of $2.9 \%$ higher than the $2.1 \%$ growth registered in 2009.

During the same period, labour input declined by $2.1 \%$ annually, whereas an annual increase of 2.0\% was recorded in capital input.

In 2010, labour input further declined by $1.1 \%$ after a fall of $6.1 \%$ in 2009. Capital input decreased by $3.5 \%$ in 2010 against a growth of $0.3 \%$ in 2009.

### 3.3 Trends in labour productivity

The labour productivity index reflects the interaction between output and labour input. From 2000 to 2010, labour productivity in the manufacturing sector registered an average annual growth of $3.8 \%$. Figure 3.1 shows that the labour productivity index has improved over the years, from 100.0 in 2000 to 144.7 in 2010.

In 2010, labour productivity in manufacturing increased by $4.1 \%$, lower than the $8.7 \%$ growth in 2009. The $4.1 \%$ increase in 2010 is the result of a $2.9 \%$ growth in output coupled with a decline of $1.1 \%$ in labour input . (Table B 2.1)

Figure 3.1 - Labour Productivity - Manufacturing sector, 2000 to 2010


### 3.4 Trends in capital productivity

Figure 3.2 - Capital Productivity - Manufacturing sector, 2000 to 2010


During the period 2000 to 2010, capital productivity declined by an average of $0.4 \%$ annually as a result of growths of $2.0 \%$ in capital input and $1.6 \%$ in real output respectively.

In 2010, capital productivity witnessed a growth of $6.6 \%$, higher than the $1.8 \%$ growth in 2009. The $6.6 \%$ growth is the result of a higher growth of $2.9 \%$ in real output compared to the negative growth of $3.5 \%$ in capital input. (Table B 2.1)

### 3.5 Trends in multifactor productivity

Figure 3.3 - Multifactor Productivity - Manufacturing sector, 2000 to 2010


During the period 2000 to 2010, multifactor productivity (MFP) increased by an average of $0.9 \%$ per annum. In 2010, MFP witnessed an increase of $6.8 \%$ compared to $3.5 \%$ in 2009. (Table B 2.1)

### 3.6 Trends in Unit Labour Cost

Unit labour cost is affected by changes in both average compensation and labour productivity. Between 2000 and 2010, ULC grew at an annual rate of $4.0 \%$ due to higher growth in average compensation of employees (7.9\%) compared to labour productivity (3.8\%). Figure 3.4 shows that the ULC index in the manufacturing sector has moved from 100.0 in 2000 to 148.1 in 2010.

In 2010, ULC for the manufacturing sector grew by $2.9 \%$ against a fall of $0.3 \%$ in 2009. (Table B 2.2)

Figure 3.4 - Unit Labour Cost - Manufacturing sector, 2000 to 2010


## 4. INDICATORS FOR THE EXPORTS ORIENTED ENTERPRISES

### 4.1 Background

The Export Processing Zone (EPZ) was set up in the early seventies to encourage investment in the manufacturing sector. When the first companies started operating in 1971, employment in this sector stood at around 650. It peaked at around 90,000 in the nineties. The number of persons employed by large EPZ establishments was 65,200 (51,200 Mauritians and 14,000 foreigners) in March 2006. Following the repeal of various industrial enactments in the Finance Act 2006, all industrial certificates including the export certificate (EPZ) lapsed on 1 October 2006. To have consistent data series on enterprises involved in manufacturing activities for export, in addition to enterprises previously holding an EPZ certificate, enterprises manufacturing goods for export and holding a registration certificate issued by the Board of Investment as from 1 October 2006 are also considered as "Export Oriented Enterprises (EOE)".

At the end of March 2011, the number of persons employed by the EOE was 55,798 ( 37,990 Mauritians and 17,808 foreigners). In 2010, the share of the EOE sector in the economy was $6.5 \%$. The contribution of the textile and non-textile sub-sectors in the total output of the EOE sector was $71.1 \%$ and $28.9 \%$ respectively.

### 4.2 Output and inputs

Figure 4.1 - Output and input trends - Export Oriented Enterprises, 2000 to 2010


From 2000 to 2010, real output of the EOE sector increased at an average annual rate of $0.6 \%$. Within the sector, an average annual growth of $8.3 \%$ was observed in the non-textile establishments while a decline of $1.1 \%$ was registered in the textile establishments.

During the period 2000 to 2010, labour input registered an annual decrease of $4.4 \%$. In 2010, labour input declined further by $1.9 \%$ after a fall of $8.7 \%$ in 2009.

Between 2000 and 2010, an average annual increase of $0.9 \%$ was observed in capital input. In 2010, the index fell by $8.9 \%$ after a decline of $7.8 \%$ in 2009. (Table B 3.1)

### 4.3 Productivity trends

Figure 4.2 - Productivity trends - Export Oriented Enterprises, 2000 to 2010


Figure 4.2 shows the trends in the labour, capital and multifactor productivity indices for the EOE sector for the years 2000 to 2010 . During that period, labour productivity grew at an average annual rate of $5.2 \%$ while capital productivity declined by $0.3 \%$. This is the result of an annual decline of $4.4 \%$ in the labour input and growths of $0.9 \%$ and $0.6 \%$ in capital input and real output respectively. Multifactor productivity grew at an average annual rate of $2.1 \%$ during the same period. (Table B 3.1).

In 2010, labour productivity in EOE grew by $8.5 \%$ compared to a growth of $8.6 \%$ in 2009 . Capital and multifactor productivity witnessed increases of $16.9 \%$ and $20.8 \%$ respectively in 2010 compared to increases of $7.5 \%$ and $8.1 \%$ in 2009.

### 4.4 Trends in Unit Labour Cost

Figure 4.3 - Unit Labour Cost - Export Oriented Enterprises, 2000 to 2010


Figure 4.3 shows the trend in unit labour cost (ULC) in the EOE sector for the period 2000 to 2010. During that period, average compensation of employees in the EOE sector increased by an average annual rate of $9.0 \%$ and labour productivity by $5.2 \%$. The higher growth in average compensation of employees compared to labour productivity caused ULC to increase at an average annual rate of $3.6 \%$. In 2010, ULC increased by $2.9 \%$ compared to a fall of $0.9 \%$ in 2009. (Table B 3.2)

## 5. INTERNATIONAL COMPETITIVENESS

### 5.1 General

Competitiveness is the degree to which a nation can, under free and fair market conditions, produce goods and services that meet the rest of international markets while simultaneously maintaining or expanding the real incomes of its citizens. Indicators commonly used are unit labour cost, real effective exchange rate and relative market shares. Some of the competitiveness indicators have been computed and are presented in this report.

### 5.2 Trends in Unit Labour Cost (ULC)

To compare changes in competitiveness, the impacts of exchange rate fluctuations have to be taken into account, since competitiveness of products depends upon changes in the prices of these products in the market.

Figure 5.1 below presents ULC in Mauritian Rupee and US Dollar for the period 2000 to 2010. It clearly shows that ULC is highly associated with changes in exchange rates.

When a national currency appreciates against the US Dollar, more Dollars must be paid in exchange for each national currency unit. On the other hand, when a national currency depreciates against US Dollar, less Dollars are paid in exchange for each national currency unit.

Figure 5.1-ULC index in Mauritian Rupees (MUR) and US dollar - Manufacturing sector, 2000-2010


From 2000 to 2010, ULC in Mauritian Rupees grew by an average of $4.0 \%$ annually. However, in Dollar terms, it increased at an annual rate of $2.3 \%$ following the $1.6 \%$ depreciation of the local currency against the US Dollar.

### 5.3 International comparison of ULC in the Manufacturing sector - 2009

An international comparison of growth in ULC in the manufacturing sector for the year 2009, in national currency and US Dollar is given in the table IV and figure 5.2 below.

Table IV: Manufacturing Unit Labour Cost of selected countries, 2009

| Country | USA | France | Germany | Italy | UK | Mauritius | Taiwan | Korea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National currency | -2.3 | 9.7 | 15.8 | 12.0 | 6.3 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3}$ | -7.4 | 3.8 |
| US \$ | -2.3 | 3.8 | 9.6 | 6.0 | -10.2 | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 . 5}$ |

Figure 5.2 - International comparison of ULC in Manufacturing - Growth rate (\%), 2009


## Source: U.S Bureau of Labour Statistics and Central Statistics Office Estimates

It is observed that, in 2009, ULC in the manufacturing sector, expressed in national currency, grew in five out of the eight economies compared, the steepest growth being for Germany (15.8\%) and Italy (12.0\%). In Mauritius, a fall of $0.3 \%$ was registered in ULC.

Expressed in US Dollar, manufacturing unit labour cost declined in five countries, namely USA ( $-2.3 \%$ ), UK ( $-10.2 \%$ ), Mauritius ( $-11.5 \%$ ), Taiwan ( $-11.6 \%$ ) and Korea ( $-10.5 \%$ ). France, Germany and Italy registered increases of (+3.8\%), (+9.6\%) and (+6.0\%) respectively, explained by high appreciation of their currencies relative to the US Dollar in 2009.

### 5.4 Evolution of market share

Evolution of market share of our products with our main trading partner countries is another indicator pertinent to the analysis of competitiveness. A country exporting a particular product to another country maintains its share of the market if the growth of its share in the market for that product equals the rate at which the imports of the products grow in the importing country.

Table C. 18 shows the evolution of our market share for five SITC ${ }^{1}$ groups, for 2007 to 2010 in some of our main importing countries. Data for United Kingdom shows that the share of Mauritius for SITC group $845^{2}$ has decreased gradually from $2.7 \%$ in 2007 to $2.4 \%$ in 2010. Similarly, data for France for the same product has decreased from 1.9\% in 2009 to $1.2 \%$ in 2010.

[^1]Table A. 1 - Real output by industry group, 2004-2010

| Industry | Real output |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 101.1 | 96.8 | 97.7 | 92.4 | 95.2 | 103.6 | 102.0 | 9.5 | -4.2 | 0.9 | -5.4 | 3.0 | 8.8 | -1.5 |
| Mining and quarrying | 41.8 | 39.0 | 33.5 | 28.5 | 34.8 | 30.5 | 30.7 | -1.8 | -6.7 | -14.1 | -15.1 | 22.2 | -12.2 | 0.5 |
| Manufacturing | 104.0 | 100.4 | 105.2 | 107.6 | 111.1 | 113.4 | 116.7 | 0.8 | -3.5 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| Export Oriented Enterprises | 88.3 | 82.7 | 89.5 | 99.5 | 101.1 | 100.2 | 106.7 | -5.8 | -6.4 | 8.2 | 11.2 | 1.6 | -0.9 | 6.5 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 128.8 | 130.8 | 130.8 | 134.3 | 142.5 | 142.7 | 147.4 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 3.3 |
| Construction | 128.3 | 122.3 | 129.5 | 150.2 | 167.6 | 178.0 | 185.7 | 0.5 | -4.7 | 5.9 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 6.2 | 4.3 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | 116.3 | 125.1 | 132.2 | 139.1 | 145.8 | 147.4 | 153.4 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 4.1 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 113.5 | 118.6 | 130.2 | 145.9 | 147.8 | 139.0 | 147.4 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 9.8 | 12.0 | 1.3 | -5.9 | 6.0 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 135.1 | 145.2 | 155.6 | 167.3 | 177.5 | 186.0 | 195.9 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 5.3 |
| Financial intermediation | 112.7 | 118.1 | 124.2 | 133.7 | 147.2 | 152.8 | 159.3 | -0.8 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 10.1 | 3.8 | 4.3 |
| Real estate , renting and business activities (excl owner occupied dwellings) | 139.1 | 148.1 | 164.8 | 189.6 | 215.2 | 235.8 | 258.5 | 11.5 | 6.5 | 11.3 | 15.0 | 13.5 | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 121.4 | 127.0 | 131.9 | 132.5 | 133.9 | 135.3 | 139.7 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| Education | 120.2 | 126.5 | 131.2 | 134.2 | 138.3 | 141.7 | 147.5 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 4.1 |
| Health and social work | 132.6 | 140.6 | 151.9 | 160.7 | 168.0 | 180.7 | 192.0 | 7.3 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 6.3 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 126.4 | 135.2 | 144.3 | 155.8 | 168.9 | 182.1 | 196.7 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
| Total Economy | 117.8 | 121.0 | 127.8 | 135.1 | 142.5 | 146.9 | 153.4 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 4.4 |

Table A. 2 - Labour input by industry group, 2004-2010


Table A. 3 - Capital input by industry group, 2004-2010

|  | Capital input |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Index |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 111.8 | 124.3 | 139.5 | 150.2 | 160.5 | 164.0 | 166.4 | 5.6 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 |
| Mining and quarrying | 340.0 | 302.0 | 408.0 | 1362.8 | 3730.1 | 3380.3 | 3030.5 | 219.1 | -11.2 | 35.1 | 234.0 | 173.7 | -9.4 | -10.3 |
| Manufacturing | 115.2 | 119.3 | 118.2 | 124.8 | 125.6 | 125.9 | 121.6 | 4.6 | 3.6 | -0.9 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | -3.5 |
| Export oriented enterprises | 110.7 | 116.5 | 117.7 | 133.8 | 130.6 | 120.5 | 109.7 | 9.5 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 13.7 | -2.4 | -7.8 | -8.9 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 108.4 | 112.9 | 117.5 | 117.9 | 114.5 | 113.5 | 114.5 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 0.4 | -2.9 | -0.8 | 0.9 |
| Construction | 91.8 | 93.1 | 98.1 | 113.1 | 130.8 | 148.4 | 167.7 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 15.3 | 15.6 | 13.4 | 13.0 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | 125.6 | 130.4 | 135.3 | 146.3 | 157.8 | 165.4 | 177.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 7.5 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 155.2 | 166.9 | 186.5 | 215.7 | 246.2 | 278.4 | 309.3 | 13.4 | 7.5 | 11.8 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 13.1 | 11.1 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 107.6 | 107.7 | 115.4 | 117.7 | 118.3 | 123.0 | 123.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.3 |
| Financial intermediation | 114.0 | 122.6 | 134.6 | 139.9 | 141.9 | 146.5 | 162.5 | 3.4 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 11.0 |
| Real estate , renting and business activities (excl owner occupied dwellings) | 198.4 | 227.7 | 244.6 | 289.5 | 374.7 | 426.4 | 453.1 | 27.5 | 14.8 | 7.4 | 18.3 | 29.4 | 13.8 | 6.3 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 122.3 | 126.5 | 130.2 | 131.3 | 135.2 | 145.9 | 156.5 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 7.9 | 7.3 |
| Education | 148.7 | 162.5 | 169.2 | 174.9 | 186.0 | 197.1 | 200.3 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 1.6 |
| Health and social work | 142.2 | 147.5 | 152.1 | 157.5 | 174.4 | 203.1 | 228.5 | 10.1 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 10.7 | 16.4 | 12.5 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 177.4 | 200.0 | 218.5 | 232.6 | 246.7 | 261.6 | 276.6 | 10.8 | 12.7 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.7 |
| Total Economy | 121.6 | 126.7 | 133.4 | 140.7 | 148.3 | 156.9 | 164.8 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.1 |

Table A. 4 - Labour productivity by industry group, 2004-2010


Table A. 5 - Capital productivity by industry group, 2004-2010

| Industry | Capital Productivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Index |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 90.4 | 77.9 | 70.0 | 61.5 | 59.3 | 63.1 | 61.3 | 3.6 | -13.9 | -10.1 | -12.2 | -3.6 | 6.5 | -2.9 |
| Mining and quarrying | 12.3 | 12.9 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | -69.2 | 5.0 | -36.4 | -74.6 | -55.4 | -3.1 | 12.1 |
| Manufacturing | 90.3 | 84.1 | 89.0 | 86.2 | 88.4 | 90.0 | 96.0 | -3.7 | -6.8 | 5.7 | -3.1 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 6.6 |
| Export oriented enterprises | 79.8 | 71.0 | 76.0 | 74.3 | 77.4 | 83.1 | 97.2 | -14.0 | -11.0 | 7.0 | -2.2 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 16.9 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 118.8 | 115.9 | 111.3 | 114.0 | 124.5 | 125.7 | 128.7 | 2.3 | -2.4 | -3.9 | 2.3 | 9.3 | 0.9 | 2.4 |
| Construction | 139.8 | 131.4 | 132.0 | 132.8 | 128.2 | 120.0 | 110.7 | -3.7 | -6.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | -3.5 | -6.4 | -7.7 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | 92.6 | 95.9 | 97.7 | 95.1 | 92.4 | 89.1 | 86.3 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 1.9 | -2.7 | -2.9 | -3.5 | -3.2 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 73.1 | 71.1 | 69.8 | 67.6 | 60.0 | 49.9 | 47.7 | -8.6 | -2.8 | -1.8 | -3.2 | -11.3 | -16.8 | -4.6 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 125.6 | 134.8 | 134.9 | 142.1 | 150.0 | 151.2 | 158.7 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 5.0 |
| Financial intermediation | 98.8 | 96.3 | 92.3 | 95.6 | 103.7 | 104.3 | 98.0 | -4.1 | -2.6 | -4.2 | 3.6 | 8.5 | 0.6 | -6.0 |
| Real estate , renting and business activities (excl owner occupied dwellings) | 70.1 | 65.0 | 67.4 | 65.5 | 57.4 | 55.3 | 57.0 | -12.5 | -7.2 | 3.6 | -2.8 | -12.3 | -3.7 | 3.1 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 99.3 | 100.4 | 101.3 | 100.9 | 99.1 | 92.7 | 89.3 | -3.5 | 1.2 | 0.9 | -0.4 | -1.8 | -6.4 | -3.7 |
| Education | 80.8 | 77.9 | 77.6 | 76.8 | 74.3 | 71.9 | 73.7 | -3.9 | -3.7 | -0.4 | -1.0 | -3.1 | -3.3 | 2.4 |
| Health and social work | 93.3 | 95.3 | 99.8 | 102.0 | 96.4 | 89.0 | 84.1 | -2.6 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 2.2 | -5.5 | -7.7 | -5.5 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 71.2 | 67.6 | 66.0 | 67.0 | 68.5 | 69.6 | 71.1 | -2.9 | -5.1 | -2.3 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Total Economy | 96.9 | 95.5 | 95.8 | 96.0 | 96.1 | 93.6 | 93.0 | -0.7 | -1.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -2.5 | -0.6 |

Table A.6-Multifactor productivity by industry group, 2004-2010

| Industry | Multifactor Productivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 100.0 | 89.0 | 82.5 | 73.8 | 72.9 | 77.9 | 75.6 | 4.6 | -11.1 | -7.3 | -17.0 | -11.7 | 5.5 | 3.8 |
| Mining and quarrying | 16.8 | 17.5 | 11.2 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | -67.3 | 4.1 | -36.1 | -72.9 | -54.7 | -1.3 | 10.5 |
| Manufacturing | 99.7 | 95.1 | 99.1 | 96.5 | 98.4 | 101.9 | 108.9 | -0.4 | -4.6 | 4.2 | -2.6 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 6.8 |
| Export oriented enterprises | 90.9 | 83.1 | 86.6 | 87.1 | 93.9 | 101.5 | 122.7 | -8.1 | -8.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 20.8 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 121.1 | 120.2 | 116.5 | 119.7 | 127.5 | 128.2 | 130.1 | 3.0 | -0.8 | -3.1 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| Construction | 128.3 | 124.1 | 126.3 | 134.7 | 136.5 | 133.7 | 128.6 | -2.8 | -3.3 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 1.4 | -2.0 | -3.9 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | 99.6 | 102.3 | 104.7 | 106.2 | 105.4 | 103.3 | 101.7 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.5 | -0.8 | -2.0 | -1.6 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 78.3 | 75.3 | 75.3 | 74.6 | 66.4 | 56.6 | 54.5 | -6.8 | -3.7 | -0.1 | -0.9 | -11.1 | -14.6 | -3.8 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 122.8 | 131.0 | 134.1 | 141.7 | 147.0 | 149.3 | 156.3 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 4.7 |
| Financial intermediation | 99.7 | 96.4 | 92.9 | 94.6 | 99.9 | 99.5 | 95.0 | -3.6 | -3.3 | -3.6 | 1.9 | 5.5 | -0.3 | -4.5 |
| Real estate , renting and business activities (excl owner occupied dwellings) | 83.2 | 78.1 | 81.3 | 79.2 | 71.1 | 69.0 | 70.9 | -7.9 | -6.2 | 4.1 | -2.6 | -10.2 | -3.0 | 2.7 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 107.4 | 110.6 | 113.7 | 114.8 | 114.2 | 114.0 | 116.3 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 | -0.5 | -0.2 | 2.1 |
| Education | 94.5 | 94.3 | 93.0 | 92.8 | 92.5 | 92.0 | 93.7 | -0.5 | -0.2 | -1.4 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -0.5 | 1.7 |
| Health and social work | 109.4 | 111.5 | 118.2 | 120.0 | 116.1 | 114.3 | 106.6 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 6.0 | 1.5 | -3.3 | -1.5 | -6.7 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 80.1 | 77.8 | 77.3 | 81.8 | 84.6 | 87.1 | 90.3 | -1.7 | -2.8 | -0.7 | 5.9 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.7 |
| Total Economy | 99.4 | 98.3 | 98.6 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 99.0 | -0.3 | -1.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.5 | -0.9 | -0.1 |

Table A. 7 - Economic productivity based on Gross Output by industry group, 2004-2010


[^2]Table A. 8 - Economic productivity based on Value Added by industry group, 2004-2010

| Industry | Productivity of Intermediate consumption (Z2) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Factor Productivity Measure of "Compensation of employees" (FPM comp. based on VA) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Overall Productivity Measure (OPM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Value Added/Intermedaite Consumption) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Value Added/Compensation fo Employees) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Value Added/All Input Resources ${ }^{1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 2.106 | 2.142 | 2.142 | 1.992 | 1.970 | 1.847 | 1.771 | 2.673 | 2.702 | 2.702 | 2.800 | 2.825 | 2.824 | 2.867 | 1.167 | 1.180 | 1.180 | 1.145 | 1.139 | 1.093 | 1.068 |
| Mining and quarrying | 4.605 | 4.770 | 4.770 | 4.249 | 4.253 | 4.244 | 4.244 | 3.454 | 3.530 | 3.530 | 3.144 | 3.074 | 2.971 | 3.057 | 1.974 | 2.029 | 2.029 | 1.807 | 1.784 | 1.747 | 1.777 |
| Manufacturing | 0.179 | 0.612 | 0.612 | 0.583 | 0.590 | 0.595 | 0.601 | 3.075 | 2.336 | 2.336 | 2.558 | 2.665 | 2.671 | 2.702 | 0.165 | 0.484 | 0.484 | 0.474 | 0.482 | 0.486 | 0.490 |
| Export oriented enterprises | 0.631 | 0.681 | 0.681 | 0.631 | 0.633 | 0.631 | 0.625 | 1.828 | 1.903 | 1.903 | 2.029 | 2.082 | 2.058 | 2.101 | 0.468 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.480 | 0.484 | 0.482 | 0.480 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 0.784 | 0.560 | 0.560 | 0.354 | 0.387 | 0.443 | 0.442 | 3.997 | 3.177 | 3.177 | 3.160 | 4.226 | 4.533 | 3.750 | 0.655 | 0.476 | 0.476 | 0.319 | 0.354 | 0.404 | 0.395 |
| Construction | 0.612 | 0.607 | 0.607 | 0.580 | 0.584 | 0.579 | 0.579 | 2.110 | 2.114 | 2.114 | 2.123 | 2.132 | 2.111 | 2.060 | 0.474 | 0.471 | 0.471 | 0.455 | 0.458 | 0.454 | 0.451 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | 2.355 | 2.424 | 2.424 | 2.370 | 2.379 | 2.339 | 2.348 | 3.737 | 4.209 | 4.209 | 3.344 | 3.356 | 3.358 | 3.398 | 1.412 | 1.507 | 1.507 | 1.347 | 1.354 | 1.351 | 1.360 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 1.357 | 1.399 | 1.399 | 1.592 | 1.579 | 1.508 | 1.526 | 3.451 | 3.714 | 3.714 | 3.912 | 3.834 | 3.808 | 3.857 | 0.958 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.115 | 1.093 | 1.067 | 1.080 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 1.109 | 0.987 | 0.987 | 0.990 | 0.932 | 0.912 | 0.919 | 2.682 | 2.608 | 2.608 | 2.764 | 2.653 | 2.551 | 2.570 | 0.773 | 0.705 | 0.705 | 0.718 | 0.679 | 0.661 | 0.665 |
| Financial intermediation | 2.399 | 2.416 | 2.416 | 2.451 | 2.179 | 2.094 | 2.065 | 4.559 | 4.513 | 4.513 | 4.404 | 4.284 | 4.072 | 4.060 | 1.568 | 1.570 | 1.570 | 1.571 | 1.442 | 1.380 | 1.366 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities excl (owner occupied dwellings) | 3.210 | 3.158 | 3.158 | 3.041 | 3.027 | 2.914 | 2.889 | 4.592 | 4.535 | 4.535 | 4.165 | 4.004 | 3.867 | 3.769 | 1.816 | 1.796 | 1.796 | 1.709 | 1.681 | 1.623 | 1.597 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 2.686 | 2.737 | 2.737 | 2.817 | 3.175 | 2.894 | 2.827 | 1.207 | 1.216 | 1.216 | 1.254 | 1.248 | 1.235 | 1.239 | 0.833 | 0.842 | 0.842 | 0.868 | 0.896 | 0.866 | 0.861 |
| Education | 6.774 | 6.688 | 6.688 | 6.904 | 6.759 | 6.282 | 6.189 | 1.375 | 1.390 | 1.390 | 1.454 | 1.433 | 1.397 | 1.410 | 1.143 | 1.151 | 1.151 | 1.201 | 1.182 | 1.143 | 1.148 |
| Health and social work | 2.510 | 3.128 | 3.128 | 2.549 | 2.756 | 2.556 | 2.623 | 1.582 | 1.619 | 1.619 | 1.732 | 1.726 | 1.721 | 1.770 | 0.970 | 1.067 | 1.067 | 1.031 | 1.061 | 1.029 | 1.057 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 3.646 | 3.704 | 3.704 | 3.824 | 3.653 | 3.429 | 3.573 | 3.057 | 3.065 | 3.065 | 2.622 | 2.512 | 2.480 | 2.429 | 1.635 | 1.654 | 1.654 | 1.532 | 1.468 | 1.421 | 1.427 |
| Total Economy | 1.514 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.189 | 1.178 | 1.174 | 1.199 | 2.612 | 2.582 | 2.582 | 2.660 | 2.651 | 2.591 | 2.594 | 0.947 | 0.833 | 0.833 | 0.815 | 0.809 | 0.802 | 0.814 |

[^3]
## B. SERIES

## B 1 TOTAL ECONOMY

Table B 1.1-Productivity Trends - Total Economy, 1990-2010

| Year | Real Output |  | Labour Input |  | Capital Input |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Productivity |  | Multifactor Productivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 58.2 | 7.3 | 87.7 | 2.8 | 51.9 | 10.3 | 66.4 | 4.3 | 112.1 | -2.7 | 94.2 | 0.4 |
| 1991 | 60.7 | 4.3 | 89.4 | 2.0 | 56.5 | 8.7 | 67.9 | 2.2 | 107.5 | -4.1 | 93.1 | -1.2 |
| 1992 | 64.8 | 6.8 | 91.1 | 1.9 | 61.0 | 8.1 | 71.1 | 4.8 | 106.2 | -1.2 | 94.3 | 1.2 |
| 1993 | 68.0 | 4.9 | 92.9 | 1.9 | 65.7 | 7.7 | 73.2 | 2.9 | 103.5 | -2.6 | 93.8 | -0.5 |
| 1994 | 71.1 | 4.6 | 94.4 | 1.6 | 71.0 | 8.1 | 75.4 | 2.9 | 100.2 | -3.2 | 93.4 | -0.4 |
| 1995 | 74.9 | 5.3 | 95.2 | 0.9 | 74.6 | 5.0 | 78.7 | 4.4 | 100.4 | 0.2 | 94.7 | 1.3 |
| 1996 | 79.6 | 6.2 | 95.9 | 0.7 | 79.1 | 6.1 | 83.0 | 5.4 | 100.5 | 0.1 | 96.1 | 1.5 |
| 1997 | 84.0 | 5.6 | 97.2 | 1.3 | 84.1 | 6.2 | 86.5 | 4.2 | 99.9 | -0.6 | 96.6 | 0.6 |
| 1998 | 88.9 | 5.8 | 98.5 | 1.4 | 88.6 | 5.4 | 90.2 | 4.3 | 100.3 | 0.4 | 98.1 | 1.5 |
| 1999 | 90.7 | 2.1 | 99.5 | 1.0 | 94.8 | 7.1 | 91.2 | 1.1 | 95.7 | -4.6 | 94.9 | -3.2 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 5.3 |
| 2001 | 104.6 | 4.6 | 101.5 | 1.5 | 104.9 | 4.9 | 103.1 | 3.1 | 99.7 | -0.3 | 99.9 | -0.1 |
| 2002 | 106.3 | 1.6 | 101.7 | 0.2 | 109.9 | 4.8 | 104.5 | 1.4 | 96.7 | -3.0 | 98.2 | -1.8 |
| 2003 | 113.0 | 6.3 | 102.9 | 1.2 | 115.8 | 5.4 | 109.8 | 5.1 | 97.6 | 0.9 | 99.6 | 1.5 |
| 2004 | 117.8 | 4.3 | 104.0 | 1.0 | 121.6 | 5.1 | 113.3 | 3.2 | 96.9 | -0.7 | 99.4 | -0.3 |
| 2005 | 121.0 | 2.7 | 104.6 | 0.6 | 126.7 | 4.2 | 115.7 | 2.1 | 95.5 | -1.4 | 98.3 | -1.1 |
| 2006 | 127.8 | 5.6 | 106.3 | 1.6 | 133.4 | 5.3 | 120.2 | 3.9 | 95.8 | 0.3 | 98.6 | 0.3 |
| 2007 | 135.1 | 5.7 | 108.0 | 1.6 | 140.7 | 5.5 | 125.1 | 4.0 | 96.0 | 0.2 | 99.5 | 0.9 |
| 2008 | 142.5 | 5.5 | 112.0 | 3.7 | 148.3 | 5.4 | 127.2 | 1.8 | 96.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.5 |
| 2009 | 146.9 | 3.1 | 112.6 | 0.5 | 156.9 | 5.8 | 130.5 | 2.6 | 93.6 | -2.5 | 99.2 | -0.9 |
| 2010 | 153.4 | 4.4 | 115.1 | 2.3 | 164.8 | 5.1 | 133.3 | 2.1 | 93.0 | -0.6 | 99.0 | -0.1 |

Table B 1.2- Unit Labour Cost, Capital-Output Ratio, Capital-Labour Ratio - Total Economy, 1990-2010

| Year | Average Compensation of employees |  | Unit Labour Cost |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Output Ratio |  | Capital Labour Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 39.5 | 13.7 | 59.5 | 8.9 | 66.4 | 4.3 | 89.2 | 2.8 | 59.2 | 7.2 |
| 1991 | 45.3 | 14.7 | 66.8 | 12.2 | 67.9 | 2.2 | 93.0 | 4.3 | 63.1 | 6.6 |
| 1992 | 49.8 | 9.8 | 70.0 | 4.8 | 71.1 | 4.8 | 94.1 | 1.2 | 67.0 | 6.1 |
| 1993 | 55.3 | 11.1 | 75.5 | 7.9 | 73.2 | 2.9 | 96.6 | 2.6 | 70.8 | 5.7 |
| 1994 | 63.0 | 13.8 | 83.5 | 10.6 | 75.4 | 2.9 | 99.8 | 3.3 | 75.3 | 6.4 |
| 1995 | 67.6 | 7.3 | 85.9 | 2.8 | 78.7 | 4.4 | 99.6 | -0.2 | 78.4 | 4.2 |
| 1996 | 74.0 | 9.5 | 89.2 | 3.8 | 83.0 | 5.4 | 99.5 | -0.1 | 82.5 | 5.3 |
| 1997 | 78.4 | 6.0 | 90.7 | 1.7 | 86.5 | 4.2 | 100.1 | 0.6 | 86.5 | 4.8 |
| 1998 | 86.7 | 10.6 | 96.1 | 6.0 | 90.2 | 4.3 | 99.7 | -0.4 | 89.9 | 3.9 |
| 1999 | 91.7 | 5.7 | 100.6 | 4.6 | 91.2 | 1.1 | 104.5 | 4.9 | 95.3 | 6.0 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 100.0 | -0.6 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 100.0 | -4.3 | 100.0 | 5.0 |
| 2001 | 106.8 | 6.8 | 103.6 | 3.6 | 103.1 | 3.1 | 100.3 | 0.3 | 103.3 | 3.3 |
| 2002 | 114.4 | 7.1 | 109.5 | 5.6 | 104.5 | 1.4 | 103.4 | 3.1 | 108.0 | 4.5 |
| 2003 | 123.8 | 8.2 | 112.8 | 3.0 | 109.8 | 5.1 | 102.5 | -0.9 | 112.5 | 4.1 |
| 2004 | 133.3 | 7.7 | 117.6 | 4.3 | 113.3 | 3.2 | 103.2 | 0.7 | 117.0 | 4.0 |
| 2005 | 138.9 | 4.2 | 120.0 | 2.1 | 115.7 | 2.1 | 104.7 | 1.4 | 121.1 | 3.5 |
| 2006 | 149.4 | 7.6 | 124.3 | 3.5 | 120.2 | 3.9 | 104.4 | -0.3 | 125.6 | 3.7 |
| 2007 | 167.2 | 11.9 | 133.7 | 7.6 | 125.1 | 4.0 | 104.2 | -0.2 | 130.3 | 3.8 |
| 2008 | 182.6 | 9.2 | 143.5 | 7.3 | 127.2 | 1.8 | 104.1 | -0.1 | 132.5 | 1.6 |
| 2009 | 192.8 | 5.6 | 147.7 | 2.9 | 130.5 | 2.6 | 106.8 | 2.6 | 139.4 | 5.2 |
| 2010 | 198.6 | 3.0 | 149.0 | 0.9 | 133.3 | 2.1 | 107.5 | 0.6 | 143.2 | 2.8 |

## B 2 - THE MANUFACTURING SECTOR

Table B 2.1-Productivity Trends - Manufacturing sector, 1990-2010

| Year | Real Output |  | Labour Input |  | Capital Input |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Productivity |  | Multifactor Productivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 59.9 | 7.7 | 93.8 | 1.2 | 78.1 | 7.3 | 63.9 | 6.4 | 76.7 | 0.4 | 70.5 | 3.5 |
| 1991 | 62.5 | 4.3 | 94.3 | 0.5 | 83.0 | 6.2 | 66.3 | 3.8 | 75.3 | -1.8 | 71.0 | 0.7 |
| 1992 | 66.6 | 6.6 | 94.4 | 0.2 | 83.7 | 0.8 | 70.5 | 6.4 | 79.6 | 5.8 | 75.3 | 6.1 |
| 1993 | 69.2 | 3.9 | 94.5 | 0.0 | 86.4 | 3.3 | 73.2 | 3.9 | 80.1 | 0.6 | 77.0 | 2.2 |
| 1994 | 72.0 | 4.0 | 94.8 | 0.4 | 86.7 | 0.4 | 75.9 | 3.6 | 83.0 | 3.6 | 79.8 | 3.6 |
| 1995 | 76.2 | 5.9 | 94.8 | 0.0 | 84.8 | -2.2 | 80.4 | 5.9 | 89.8 | 8.3 | 85.5 | 7.1 |
| 1996 | 81.2 | 6.5 | 95.5 | 0.7 | 85.1 | 0.3 | 85.0 | 5.7 | 95.4 | 6.2 | 90.6 | 6.0 |
| 1997 | 86.0 | 5.9 | 99.0 | 3.7 | 85.2 | 0.1 | 86.8 | 2.1 | 100.9 | 5.8 | 94.4 | 4.1 |
| 1998 | 91.2 | 6.1 | 103.0 | 3.9 | 89.5 | 5.1 | 88.6 | 2.1 | 101.8 | 0.9 | 95.7 | 1.5 |
| 1999 | 93.0 | 2.0 | 102.1 | -0.8 | 95.2 | 6.3 | 91.1 | 2.8 | 97.7 | -4.0 | 94.7 | -1.0 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 7.5 | 100.0 | -2.1 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 9.8 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 5.5 |
| 2001 | 105.0 | 5.0 | 99.1 | -0.9 | 103.5 | 3.5 | 106.0 | 6.0 | 101.4 | 1.4 | 103.1 | 3.1 |
| 2002 | 102.2 | -2.7 | 96.3 | -2.8 | 107.9 | 4.2 | 106.0 | 0.1 | 94.7 | -6.6 | 99.1 | -3.9 |
| 2003 | 103.2 | 1.0 | 93.0 | -3.5 | 110.1 | 2.0 | 111.0 | 4.7 | 93.7 | -1.0 | 100.1 | 1.0 |
| 2004 | 104.0 | 0.8 | 88.2 | -5.2 | 115.2 | 4.6 | 118.0 | 6.3 | 90.3 | -3.7 | 99.7 | -0.4 |
| 2005 | 100.4 | -3.5 | 84.5 | -4.2 | 119.3 | 3.6 | 118.8 | 0.7 | 84.1 | -6.8 | 95.1 | -4.6 |
| 2006 | 105.2 | 4.8 | 85.1 | 0.8 | 118.2 | -0.9 | 123.5 | 4.0 | 89.0 | 5.7 | 99.1 | 4.2 |
| 2007 | 107.6 | 2.3 | 86.6 | 1.7 | 124.8 | 5.6 | 124.2 | 0.6 | 86.2 | -3.1 | 96.5 | -2.6 |
| 2008 | 111.1 | 3.2 | 86.8 | 0.2 | 125.6 | 0.6 | 127.9 | 2.9 | 88.4 | 2.6 | 98.4 | 2.0 |
| 2009 | 113.4 | 2.1 | 81.5 | -6.1 | 125.9 | 0.3 | 139.0 | 8.7 | 90.0 | 1.8 | 101.9 | 3.5 |
| 2010 | 116.7 | 2.9 | 80.6 | -1.1 | 121.6 | -3.5 | 144.7 | 4.1 | 96.0 | 6.6 | 108.9 | 6.8 |

Table B 2.2 - Unit Labour Cost, Capital-Output Ratio, Capital-Labour Ratio - Manufacturing sector, 1990-2010

| Year | Average Compensation of employees |  | Unit Labour Cost |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Output Ratio |  | Capital Labour Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 36.8 | 19.2 | 57.7 | 12.0 | 63.9 | 6.4 | 130.4 | -0.4 | 83.3 | 6.0 |
| 1991 | 43.9 | 19.2 | 66.2 | 14.9 | 66.3 | 3.8 | 132.9 | 1.8 | 88.1 | 5.7 |
| 1992 | 50.7 | 15.4 | 71.8 | 8.4 | 70.5 | 6.4 | 125.6 | -5.4 | 88.6 | 0.6 |
| 1993 | 53.7 | 6.1 | 73.4 | 2.2 | 73.2 | 3.9 | 124.9 | -0.6 | 91.5 | 3.2 |
| 1994 | 60.0 | 11.7 | 79.1 | 7.8 | 75.9 | 3.6 | 120.5 | -3.5 | 91.5 | 0.0 |
| 1995 | 66.2 | 10.3 | 82.4 | 4.1 | 80.4 | 5.9 | 111.3 | -7.6 | 89.5 | -2.1 |
| 1996 | 70.5 | 6.5 | 82.9 | 0.7 | 85.0 | 5.7 | 104.8 | -5.8 | 89.1 | -0.5 |
| 1997 | 73.2 | 3.9 | 84.4 | 1.7 | 86.8 | 2.1 | 99.1 | -5.5 | 86.0 | -3.5 |
| 1998 | 80.2 | 9.5 | 90.5 | 7.3 | 88.6 | 2.1 | 98.2 | -0.9 | 87.0 | 1.1 |
| 1999 | 90.1 | 12.3 | 98.9 | 9.3 | 91.1 | 2.8 | 102.3 | 4.2 | 93.2 | 7.1 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 11.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 9.8 | 100.0 | -2.3 | 100.0 | 7.3 |
| 2001 | 108.9 | 8.9 | 102.7 | 2.7 | 106.0 | 6.0 | 98.6 | -1.4 | 104.5 | 4.5 |
| 2002 | 117.1 | 7.6 | 110.4 | 7.5 | 106.0 | 0.1 | 105.6 | 7.1 | 112.0 | 7.2 |
| 2003 | 126.5 | 8.0 | 114.0 | 3.2 | 111.0 | 4.7 | 106.7 | 1.0 | 118.4 | 5.7 |
| 2004 | 142.1 | 12.3 | 120.4 | 5.7 | 118.0 | 6.3 | 110.7 | 3.8 | 130.6 | 10.3 |
| 2005 | 148.4 | 4.5 | 125.0 | 3.8 | 118.8 | 0.7 | 118.9 | 7.3 | 141.2 | 8.1 |
| 2006 | 159.2 | 7.2 | 128.8 | 3.1 | 123.5 | 4.0 | 112.4 | -5.4 | 138.9 | -1.6 |
| 2007 | 169.4 | 6.4 | 136.4 | 5.9 | 124.2 | 0.6 | 116.0 | 3.2 | 144.1 | 3.8 |
| 2008 | 184.6 | 9.0 | 144.3 | 5.9 | 127.9 | 2.9 | 113.1 | -2.5 | 144.6 | 0.3 |
| 2009 | 200.0 | 8.4 | 143.9 | -0.3 | 139.0 | 8.7 | 111.1 | -1.8 | 154.4 | 6.8 |
| 2010 | 214.2 | 7.1 | 148.1 | 2.9 | 144.7 | 4.1 | 104.2 | -6.2 | 150.8 | -2.4 |

## B 3 - THE EXPORT ORIENTED ENTERPRISES (EOE sector)

Table B 3.1-Productivity Trends - EOE sector, 1990-2010

| Year | Real Output |  | Labour Input |  | Capital Input |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Productivity |  | Multifactor Productivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Growth rate } \\ \% \end{array}$ |
| 1990 | 57.0 | 7.0 | 98.9 | -0.3 | 86.6 | 3.7 | 57.6 | 7.4 | 65.9 | 3.2 | 62.5 | 5.5 |
| 1991 | 59.9 | 5.0 | 99.6 | 0.7 | 86.5 | -0.1 | 60.1 | 4.3 | 69.3 | 5.1 | 66.3 | 6.1 |
| 1992 | 63.5 | 6.0 | 98.0 | -1.6 | 83.0 | -4.0 | 64.8 | 7.7 | 76.5 | 10.4 | 73.1 | 10.2 |
| 1993 | 67.3 | 6.0 | 94.2 | -3.9 | 83.4 | 0.5 | 71.5 | 10.3 | 80.6 | 5.5 | 77.7 | 6.3 |
| 1994 | 70.2 | 4.3 | 91.3 | -3.0 | 82.4 | -1.2 | 76.8 | 7.5 | 85.1 | 5.6 | 82.5 | 6.2 |
| 1995 | 73.7 | 5.0 | 89.2 | -2.3 | 80.1 | -2.8 | 82.6 | 7.5 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 88.4 | 7.2 |
| 1996 | 78.8 | 7.0 | 89.4 | 0.2 | 79.0 | -1.3 | 88.2 | 6.7 | 99.7 | 8.4 | 93.8 | 6.0 |
| 1997 | 83.6 | 6.0 | 93.5 | 4.6 | 82.7 | 4.6 | 89.3 | 1.3 | 101.1 | 1.4 | 94.2 | 0.5 |
| 1998 | 89.3 | 6.9 | 98.6 | 5.4 | 87.7 | 6.1 | 90.6 | 1.5 | 101.8 | 0.7 | 95.4 | 1.3 |
| 1999 | 94.7 | 6.0 | 100.3 | 1.8 | 95.1 | 8.4 | 94.4 | 4.2 | 99.6 | -2.2 | 97.2 | 1.8 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | -0.3 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2.9 |
| 2001 | 104.9 | 4.9 | 101.3 | 1.3 | 103.9 | 3.9 | 103.5 | 3.5 | 101.0 | 1.0 | 102.1 | 2.1 |
| 2002 | 98.3 | -6.3 | 95.4 | -5.8 | 103.2 | -0.7 | 103.0 | -0.5 | 95.2 | -5.7 | 99.6 | -2.4 |
| 2003 | 93.8 | -4.6 | 89.1 | -6.7 | 101.1 | -2.0 | 105.3 | 2.2 | 92.8 | -2.6 | 99.0 | -0.6 |
| 2004 | 88.3 | -5.8 | 79.7 | -10.5 | 110.7 | 9.5 | 110.8 | 5.3 | 79.8 | -14.0 | 90.9 | -8.1 |
| 2005 | 82.7 | -6.4 | 72.9 | -8.5 | 116.5 | 5.2 | 113.4 | 2.3 | 71.0 | -11.0 | 83.1 | -8.6 |
| 2006 | 89.5 | 8.2 | 72.4 | -0.8 | 117.7 | 1.1 | 123.6 | 9.0 | 76.0 | 7.0 | 86.6 | 4.2 |
| 2007 | 99.5 | 11.2 | 74.7 | 3.2 | 133.8 | 13.7 | 133.1 | 7.7 | 74.3 | -2.2 | 87.1 | 0.6 |
| 2008 | 101.1 | 1.6 | 71.5 | -4.3 | 130.6 | -2.4 | 141.4 | 6.2 | 77.4 | 4.1 | 93.9 | 7.8 |
| 2009 | 100.2 | -0.9 | 65.3 | -8.7 | 120.5 | -7.8 | 153.5 | 8.6 | 83.1 | 7.5 | 101.5 | 8.1 |
| 2010 | 106.7 | 6.5 | 64.0 | -1.9 | 109.7 | -8.9 | 166.6 | 8.5 | 97.2 | 16.9 | 122.7 | 20.8 |

Table B 3.2-Unit Labour Cost, Capital-Output Ratio, Capital-Labour Ratio - EOE sector, 1990-2010

| Year | Average Compensation of employees |  | Unit Labour Cost |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Output Ratio |  | Capital Labour Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 33.6 | 19.3 | 58.4 | 11.1 | 57.6 | 7.4 | 151.8 | -3.1 | 87.5 | 4.1 |
| 1991 | 39.5 | 17.4 | 65.7 | 12.6 | 60.1 | 4.3 | 144.4 | -4.9 | 86.8 | -0.8 |
| 1992 | 48.0 | 21.6 | 74.2 | 12.9 | 64.8 | 7.7 | 130.8 | -9.4 | 84.7 | -2.4 |
| 1993 | 53.1 | 10.5 | 74.3 | 0.2 | 71.5 | 10.3 | 124.0 | -5.2 | 88.6 | 4.6 |
| 1994 | 60.2 | 13.4 | 78.4 | 5.5 | 76.8 | 7.5 | 117.4 | -5.3 | 90.3 | 1.8 |
| 1995 | 66.8 | 11.0 | 80.9 | 3.2 | 82.6 | 7.5 | 108.7 | -7.4 | 89.8 | -0.5 |
| 1996 | 71.7 | 7.3 | 81.3 | 0.5 | 88.2 | 6.7 | 100.3 | -7.8 | 88.4 | -1.6 |
| 1997 | 73.1 | 1.9 | 81.8 | 0.5 | 89.3 | 1.3 | 98.9 | -1.3 | 88.4 | 0.0 |
| 1998 | 80.6 | 10.3 | 88.9 | 8.7 | 90.6 | 1.5 | 98.2 | -0.7 | 89.0 | 0.7 |
| 1999 | 92.9 | 15.2 | 98.3 | 10.6 | 94.4 | 4.2 | 100.4 | 2.2 | 94.8 | 6.5 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 100.0 | -0.4 | 100.0 | 5.5 |
| 2001 | 108.3 | 8.3 | 104.6 | 4.6 | 103.5 | 3.5 | 99.0 | -1.0 | 102.5 | 2.5 |
| 2002 | 118.7 | 9.6 | 115.2 | 10.1 | 103.0 | -0.5 | 105.0 | 6.0 | 108.1 | 5.5 |
| 2003 | 124.9 | 5.3 | 118.7 | 3.0 | 105.3 | 2.2 | 107.8 | 2.7 | 113.5 | 4.9 |
| 2004 | 137.4 | 10.0 | 124.0 | 4.5 | 110.8 | 5.3 | 125.3 | 16.3 | 138.8 | 22.4 |
| 2005 | 141.8 | 3.2 | 125.1 | 0.9 | 113.4 | 2.3 | 140.9 | 12.4 | 159.7 | 15.0 |
| 2006 | 155.8 | 9.9 | 126.1 | 0.8 | 123.6 | 9.0 | 131.6 | -6.6 | 162.7 | 1.9 |
| 2007 | 177.6 | 14.0 | 133.4 | 5.8 | 133.1 | 7.7 | 134.5 | 2.2 | 179.1 | 10.1 |
| 2008 | 197.4 | 11.1 | 139.6 | 4.6 | 141.4 | 6.2 | 129.2 | -3.9 | 182.7 | 2.0 |
| 2009 | 212.4 | 7.6 | 138.4 | -0.9 | 153.5 | 8.6 | 120.3 | -6.9 | 184.6 | 1.0 |
| 2010 | 237.2 | 11.7 | 142.4 | 2.9 | 166.6 | 8.5 | 102.9 | -14.5 | 171.4 | -7.2 |

## B 4 - THE EOE TEXTILE SUBSECTOR

Table B 4.1-Productivity Trends - EOE textile subsector, 1990-2010

| Year | Real Output |  | Labour Input |  | Capital Input |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Productivity |  | Multifactor Productivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 56.1 | 8.0 | 100.4 | -0.4 | 86.6 | 3.7 | 55.9 | 8.5 | 64.8 | 4.2 | 61.5 | 6.7 |
| 1991 | 59.4 | 5.8 | 101.2 | 0.8 | 86.5 | -0.1 | 58.7 | 4.9 | 68.6 | 5.9 | 65.6 | 6.6 |
| 1992 | 62.8 | 5.8 | 99.5 | -1.7 | 83.1 | -3.9 | 63.1 | 7.6 | 75.6 | 10.1 | 71.8 | 9.5 |
| 1993 | 66.2 | 5.3 | 95.2 | -4.3 | 83.5 | 0.5 | 69.5 | 10.1 | 79.2 | 4.8 | 76.2 | 6.0 |
| 1994 | 69.5 | 5.1 | 91.4 | -4.0 | 82.5 | -1.2 | 76.1 | 9.5 | 84.3 | 6.4 | 81.9 | 7.6 |
| 1995 | 72.8 | 4.7 | 88.1 | -3.6 | 80.2 | -2.8 | 82.6 | 8.6 | 90.8 | 7.7 | 88.2 | 7.7 |
| 1996 | 78.8 | 8.3 | 88.6 | 0.5 | 79.1 | -1.3 | 89.0 | 7.8 | 99.6 | 9.8 | 94.4 | 7.0 |
| 1997 | 83.8 | 6.3 | 93.3 | 5.3 | 82.7 | 4.5 | 89.8 | 0.9 | 101.3 | 1.7 | 94.7 | 0.3 |
| 1998 | 89.6 | 6.9 | 98.7 | 5.8 | 87.8 | 6.1 | 90.7 | 1.0 | 102.1 | 0.7 | 95.8 | 1.1 |
| 1999 | 95.0 | 6.0 | 100.7 | 2.0 | 95.1 | 8.3 | 94.3 | 3.9 | 99.9 | -2.1 | 96.9 | 1.2 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 100.0 | -0.7 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3.2 |
| 2001 | 104.8 | 4.8 | 101.0 | 1.0 | 104.1 | 4.1 | 103.8 | 3.8 | 100.7 | 0.7 | 101.3 | 1.3 |
| 2002 | 96.5 | -7.9 | 95.0 | -5.9 | 103.3 | -0.7 | 101.6 | -2.1 | 93.4 | -7.3 | 99.2 | -2.1 |
| 2003 | 91.2 | -5.5 | 87.5 | -7.9 | 101.3 | -2.0 | 104.3 | 2.6 | 90.1 | -3.6 | 98.7 | -0.5 |
| 2004 | 83.7 | -8.2 | 76.3 | -12.8 | 111.1 | 9.7 | 109.7 | 5.2 | 75.4 | -16.3 | 90.3 | -8.5 |
| 2005 | 76.1 | -9.1 | 67.7 | -11.3 | 117.2 | 5.5 | 112.4 | 2.5 | 64.9 | -13.8 | 82.1 | -9.2 |
| 2006 | 79.8 | 4.9 | 67.7 | 0.0 | 118.5 | 1.1 | 118.0 | 4.9 | 67.4 | 3.7 | 83.6 | 1.9 |
| 2007 | 89.3 | 11.9 | 69.6 | 2.8 | 135.2 | 14.1 | 128.4 | 8.8 | 66.1 | -1.9 | 84.2 | 0.8 |
| 2008 | 89.4 | 0.1 | 64.4 | -7.5 | 132.5 | -2.0 | 138.9 | 8.2 | 67.5 | 2.2 | 93.8 | 11.4 |
| 2009 | 86.3 | -3.5 | 57.9 | -10.2 | 122.5 | -7.5 | 149.2 | 7.4 | 70.5 | 4.3 | 96.3 | 2.6 |
| 2010 | 89.3 | 3.5 | 54.9 | -5.2 | 111.8 | -8.7 | 162.8 | 9.1 | 79.9 | 13.4 | 115.4 | 19.8 |

Table B 4.2-Unit Labour Cost, Capital-Output Ratio, Capital-Labour Ratio - EOE textile subsector, 1990-2010

| Year | Average Compensation of employees |  | Unit Labour Cost |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Output Ratio |  | Capital Labour Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 33.7 | 20.0 | 60.2 | 10.6 | 55.9 | 8.5 | 154.4 | -4.0 | 86.3 | 4.1 |
| 1991 | 39.7 | 17.8 | 67.6 | 12.3 | 58.7 | 4.9 | 145.7 | -5.6 | 85.5 | -0.9 |
| 1992 | 49.4 | 24.5 | 78.3 | 15.7 | 63.1 | 7.6 | 132.3 | -9.2 | 83.5 | -2.3 |
| 1993 | 53.7 | 8.8 | 77.3 | -1.2 | 69.5 | 10.1 | 126.3 | -4.6 | 87.7 | 5.0 |
| 1994 | 61.3 | 14.2 | 80.6 | 4.3 | 76.1 | 9.5 | 118.7 | -6.0 | 90.3 | 2.9 |
| 1995 | 68.3 | 11.4 | 82.7 | 2.6 | 82.6 | 8.6 | 110.2 | -7.2 | 91.0 | 0.8 |
| 1996 | 74.3 | 8.7 | 83.4 | 0.9 | 89.0 | 7.8 | 100.4 | -8.9 | 89.4 | -1.8 |
| 1997 | 73.9 | -0.5 | 82.2 | -1.4 | 89.8 | 0.9 | 98.7 | -1.7 | 88.7 | -0.8 |
| 1998 | 81.0 | 9.7 | 89.3 | 8.6 | 90.7 | 1.0 | 98.0 | -0.7 | 88.9 | 0.3 |
| 1999 | 91.1 | 12.5 | 96.7 | 8.3 | 94.3 | 3.9 | 100.1 | 2.2 | 94.4 | 6.2 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 9.7 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 100.0 | -0.1 | 100.0 | 6.0 |
| 2001 | 106.3 | 6.3 | 102.4 | 2.4 | 103.8 | 3.8 | 99.3 | -0.7 | 103.1 | 3.1 |
| 2002 | 123.3 | 15.9 | 121.3 | 18.4 | 101.6 | -2.1 | 107.1 | 7.8 | 108.8 | 5.6 |
| 2003 | 131.8 | 6.9 | 126.4 | 4.2 | 104.3 | 2.6 | 111.0 | 3.7 | 115.7 | 6.4 |
| 2004 | 148.5 | 12.6 | 135.3 | 7.0 | 109.7 | 5.2 | 132.7 | 19.5 | 145.6 | 25.8 |
| 2005 | 154.5 | 4.1 | 137.5 | 1.6 | 112.4 | 2.5 | 154.0 | 16.0 | 173.1 | 18.9 |
| 2006 | 166.0 | 7.4 | 140.7 | 2.4 | 118.0 | 4.9 | 148.4 | -3.6 | 175.1 | 1.2 |
| 2007 | 185.5 | 11.8 | 144.5 | 2.7 | 128.4 | 8.8 | 151.3 | 2.0 | 194.3 | 10.9 |
| 2008 | 208.8 | 12.6 | 150.4 | 4.1 | 138.9 | 8.2 | 148.1 | -2.1 | 205.7 | 5.9 |
| 2009 | 223.5 | 7.0 | 149.8 | -0.4 | 149.2 | 7.4 | 141.9 | -4.2 | 211.7 | 2.9 |
| 2010 | 253.3 | 13.3 | 155.6 | 3.8 | 162.8 | 9.1 | 125.2 | -11.8 | 203.8 | -3.7 |

## B 5 - THE EOE NON - TEXTILE SUBSECTOR

Table B 5.1-Productivity Trends - EOE non-textile subsector, 1990-2010

| Year | Real Output |  | Labour Input |  | Capital Input |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Productivity |  | Multifactor Productivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 64.3 | 0.4 | 87.9 | 0.5 | 86.2 | 3.8 | 73.1 | -0.1 | 74.6 | -3.2 | 67.7 | -2.5 |
| 1991 | 64.3 | 0.0 | 87.5 | -0.5 | 86.0 | -0.2 | 73.5 | 0.5 | 74.8 | 0.2 | 69.6 | 2.8 |
| 1992 | 69.1 | 7.5 | 86.5 | -1.1 | 82.3 | -4.2 | 79.9 | 8.7 | 84.0 | 12.3 | 80.6 | 15.9 |
| 1993 | 77.3 | 11.8 | 86.1 | -0.4 | 82.8 | 0.6 | 89.7 | 12.3 | 93.3 | 11.1 | 89.0 | 10.4 |
| 1994 | 75.9 | -1.7 | 90.5 | 5.1 | 81.8 | -1.2 | 83.9 | -6.5 | 92.8 | -0.5 | 86.5 | -2.8 |
| 1995 | 81.7 | 7.6 | 97.2 | 7.3 | 79.5 | -2.9 | 84.1 | 0.3 | 102.8 | 10.8 | 90.5 | 4.6 |
| 1996 | 79.3 | -3.0 | 95.9 | -1.3 | 78.5 | -1.3 | 82.6 | -1.7 | 101.0 | -1.8 | 89.3 | -1.4 |
| 1997 | 81.6 | 2.9 | 95.5 | -0.5 | 82.4 | 4.9 | 85.4 | 3.4 | 99.0 | -1.9 | 90.4 | 1.3 |
| 1998 | 87.2 | 6.9 | 97.3 | 1.9 | 87.6 | 6.4 | 89.6 | 4.9 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 93.0 | 2.9 |
| 1999 | 92.4 | 6.0 | 96.9 | -0.4 | 95.1 | 8.6 | 95.4 | 6.4 | 97.2 | -2.4 | 101.5 | 9.1 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 100.0 | -1.5 |
| 2001 | 105.1 | 5.1 | 104.1 | 4.1 | 102.9 | 2.9 | 100.9 | 0.9 | 102.1 | 2.1 | 109.4 | 9.4 |
| 2002 | 111.2 | 5.8 | 98.8 | -5.1 | 102.4 | -0.5 | 112.5 | 11.5 | 108.6 | 6.4 | 99.9 | -8.7 |
| 2003 | 112.2 | 0.9 | 101.1 | 2.3 | 100.1 | -2.2 | 111.0 | -1.4 | 112.1 | 3.2 | 99.6 | -0.2 |
| 2004 | 122.0 | 8.7 | 105.2 | 4.1 | 108.2 | 8.1 | 115.9 | 4.4 | 112.7 | 0.5 | 97.8 | -1.9 |
| 2005 | 131.3 | 7.7 | 112.2 | 6.6 | 111.9 | 3.4 | 117.1 | 1.0 | 117.4 | 4.2 | 99.2 | 1.5 |
| 2006 | 159.1 | 21.1 | 107.6 | -4.0 | 112.9 | 0.9 | 147.8 | 26.2 | 140.8 | 20.0 | 117.1 | 18.0 |
| 2007 | 173.4 | 9.0 | 113.1 | 5.1 | 125.2 | 10.9 | 153.3 | 3.7 | 138.4 | -1.7 | 118.5 | 1.2 |
| 2008 | 184.3 | 6.3 | 124.6 | 10.2 | 119.3 | -4.7 | 147.9 | -3.5 | 154.5 | 11.6 | 127.9 | 7.9 |
| 2009 | 191.7 | 4.0 | 120.7 | -3.2 | 107.9 | -9.5 | 158.8 | 7.4 | 177.6 | 15.0 | 148.9 | 16.4 |
| 2010 | 221.6 | 15.6 | 132.7 | 9.9 | 96.7 | -10.4 | 167.0 | 5.2 | 229.0 | 29.0 | 185.2 | 24.4 |

Table B 5.2 - Unit Labour Cost, Capital-Output Ratio, Capital-Labour Ratio - EOE non-textile subsector, 1990 to 2010

| Year | Average Compensation of employees |  | Unit Labour Cost |  | Labour Productivity |  | Capital Output Ratio |  | Capital Labour Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% | Index | Growth rate \% |
| 1990 | 34.3 | 14.6 | 46.9 | 14.7 | 46.9 | 14.7 | 134.0 | 3.3 | 98.0 | 3.2 |
| 1991 | 39.3 | 14.7 | 53.5 | 14.1 | 53.5 | 14.1 | 133.7 | -0.2 | 98.3 | 0.3 |
| 1992 | 39.6 | 0.7 | 49.5 | -7.4 | 49.5 | -7.4 | 119.1 | -10.9 | 95.2 | -3.2 |
| 1993 | 49.8 | 25.8 | 55.5 | 12.1 | 55.5 | 12.1 | 107.2 | -10.0 | 96.2 | 1.0 |
| 1994 | 53.6 | 7.6 | 63.8 | 15.0 | 63.8 | 15.0 | 107.7 | 0.5 | 90.4 | -6.0 |
| 1995 | 57.7 | 7.7 | 68.6 | 7.4 | 68.6 | 7.4 | 97.3 | -9.7 | 81.8 | -9.5 |
| 1996 | 56.4 | -2.2 | 68.3 | -0.4 | 68.3 | -0.4 | 99.0 | 1.8 | 81.8 | 0.0 |
| 1997 | 68.0 | 20.6 | 79.6 | 16.6 | 79.6 | 16.6 | 101.0 | 2.0 | 86.3 | 5.4 |
| 1998 | 78.3 | 15.2 | 87.4 | 9.7 | 87.4 | 9.7 | 100.5 | -0.5 | 90.1 | 4.4 |
| 1999 | 104.6 | 33.5 | 109.6 | 25.5 | 109.6 | 25.5 | 102.9 | 2.4 | 98.2 | 9.0 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | -4.4 | 100.0 | -8.8 | 100.0 | -8.8 | 100.0 | -2.8 | 100.0 | 1.8 |
| 2001 | 119.7 | 19.7 | 118.6 | 18.6 | 118.6 | 18.6 | 97.9 | -2.1 | 98.8 | -1.2 |
| 2002 | 90.7 | -24.3 | 80.6 | -32.1 | 80.6 | -32.1 | 92.1 | -6.0 | 103.6 | 4.8 |
| 2003 | 85.5 | -5.7 | 77.1 | -4.4 | 77.1 | -4.4 | 89.2 | -3.1 | 99.0 | -4.4 |
| 2004 | 82.0 | -4.2 | 70.7 | -8.2 | 70.7 | -8.2 | 88.7 | -0.5 | 102.9 | 3.9 |
| 2005 | 85.6 | 4.4 | 73.1 | 3.3 | 73.1 | 3.3 | 85.2 | -4.0 | 99.7 | -3.0 |
| 2006 | 107.4 | 25.5 | 72.7 | -0.6 | 72.7 | -0.6 | 71.0 | -16.6 | 104.9 | 5.2 |
| 2007 | 136.9 | 27.5 | 89.3 | 23.0 | 89.3 | 23.0 | 72.2 | 1.7 | 110.7 | 5.5 |
| 2008 | 145.9 | 6.6 | 98.7 | 10.5 | 98.7 | 10.5 | 64.7 | -10.4 | 95.7 | -13.5 |
| 2009 | 162.4 | 11.3 | 102.3 | 3.7 | 102.3 | 3.7 | 56.3 | -13.0 | 89.4 | -6.6 |
| 2010 | 174.5 | 7.5 | 104.5 | 2.2 | 104.5 | 2.2 | 43.7 | -22.5 | 72.9 | -18.5 |

## C. PRODUCTIVITY RELATED INDICATORS

Table C. 1 - Labour force, employment and unemployment, 1990-2010

| Year | Labour force |  |  | Employment (including foreign workers) |  |  |  |  | Unemployment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mauritian | Foreign workers | Total | Large establishments ${ }^{1}$ |  | Other than large establishments |  | Total | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate }^{2} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 432.0 | 1.0 | 433.0 | 284.5 | 67.6 | 136.3 | 32.4 | 420.8 | 12.2 | 2.8 |
| 1991 | 439.2 | 2.2 | 441.4 | 289.0 | 67.3 | 140.4 | 32.7 | 429.4 | 12.0 | 2.7 |
| 1992 | 448.8 | 4.1 | 452.9 | 291.0 | 66.5 | 146.9 | 33.5 | 437.9 | 15.0 | 3.3 |
| 1993 | 457.0 | 6.9 | 463.9 | 290.5 | 65.1 | 155.4 | 34.9 | 445.9 | 18.0 | 3.9 |
| 1994 | 467.5 | 8.3 | 475.8 | 292.7 | 64.4 | 162.1 | 35.6 | 454.8 | 21.0 | 4.5 |
| 1995 | 475.0 | 9.8 | 484.8 | 289.2 | 62.8 | 171.3 | 37.2 | 460.5 | 24.3 | 5.1 |
| 1996 | 482.3 | 8.2 | 490.5 | 286.8 | 62.0 | 175.8 | 38.0 | 462.6 | 27.9 | 5.8 |
| 1997 | 490.8 | 8.6 | 499.4 | 287.8 | 61.6 | 179.4 | 38.4 | 467.2 | 32.2 | 6.6 |
| 1998 | 499.4 | 10.0 | 509.4 | 294.7 | 62.0 | 180.3 | 38.0 | 475.0 | 34.4 | 6.9 |
| 1999 | 506.6 | 12.9 | 519.5 | 297.4 | 61.9 | 183.1 | 38.1 | 480.5 | 39.0 | 7.7 |
| 2000 | 503.0 | 14.6 | 517.6 | 298.7 | 61.6 | 186.2 | 38.4 | 484.9 | 32.7 | 6.5 |
| 2001 | 510.3 | 16.5 | 526.8 | 302.0 | 61.4 | 190.1 | 38.6 | 492.1 | 34.7 | 6.8 |
| 2002 | $513.0{ }^{3}$ | 17.0 | 530.0 | 297.2 | 60.3 | 196.0 | 39.7 | 493.2 | 36.8 | 7.2 |
| 2003 | 520.9 | 18.2 | 539.1 | 296.9 | 59.5 | 202.1 | 40.5 | 499.0 | 40.1 | 7.7 |
| 2004 | 531.3 | 17.5 | 548.8 | 293.3 | 58.2 | 210.9 | 41.8 | 504.2 | 44.6 | 8.4 |
| 2005 | 542.5 | 16.6 | 559.1 | 292.2 | 57.6 | 215.0 | 42.4 | 507.2 | 51.9 | 9.6 |
| 2006 | 548.4 | 16.7 | 565.1 | 295.1 | 57.3 | 220.2 | 42.7 | 515.3 | 49.8 | 9.1 |
| 2007 | 548.9 | 21.6 | 570.5 | 302.0 | 57.7 | 221.7 | 42.3 | 523.7 | 46.8 | 8.5 |
| 2008 | 559.4 | 24.0 | 583.4 | 311.6 | 57.4 | 231.4 | 42.6 | 543.0 | 40.4 | 7.2 |
| 2009 | 566.3 | 21.0 | 587.3 | 306.0 | 56.1 | 239.8 | 43.9 | 545.8 | 41.5 | 7.3 |
| 2010 | 581.3 | 22.0 | 603.3 | 310.1 | 55.6 | 248.0 | 44.4 | 558.1 | 45.2 | 7.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Employing 10 or more persons
${ }^{2}$ Unemployment as a percentage of Mauritian labour force
${ }^{3}$ The low increase results from the implementation of the Voluntary Retirement Scheme (VRS) in the sugar industry.

Table C. 2 - Employment in large ${ }^{1}$ establishments by industrial group, March 2007 - March 2010
Both sexes


Table C. 3 - Average monthly earnings ${ }^{1}$ in large ${ }^{2}$ establishments by industrial group, March 2007 - March 2010


Table C. 4 - Index of average monthly earnings by industry ( large establishments), March 2007 - March 2010
(Base March $2000=100$ )

| Industrial group | March 2007 | March 2008 | March $2009{ }^{1}$ | March 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 158 | 166 | 193 | 212 |
| Sugarcane | 154 | 161 | 180 | 206 |
| Mining and quarrying | 163 | 173 | 177 | 179 |
| Manufacturing | 156 | 162 | 182 | 197 |
| EOE products | 160 | 167 | 187 | 197 |
| Electricity, gas and water | 179 | 181 | 195 | 218 |
| Construction | 162 | 177 | 189 | 209 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles,motorcycles, personal and household goods | 150 | 165 | 172 | 183 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 153 | 156 | 180 | 193 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 152 | 173 | 176 | 187 |
| Financial intermediation | 165 | 185 | 196 | 223 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | 135 | 148 | 163 | 182 |
| Public administration and defence;compulsory social security | 153 | 166 | 217 | 218 |
| Education | 148 | 153 | 198 | 206 |
| Health and social work | 168 | 174 | 208 | 212 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 157 | 164 | 179 | 198 |
| All sectors | 164 | 177 | 207 | 223 |

[^4]Table C. 5 - Inflation, real monthly earnings and labour productivity (EOE sector) 1990-2010

| Year | C.P.I. | Inflation rate (\%) | Average monthly nominal earnings |  |  | Average monthly real earnings* |  | Labour Productivity ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Earnings <br> (Rupees) | Index | Growth rate (\%) | Index | Growth rate (\%) | Index | Growth rate (\%) |
| 1990 | 52.6 | 13.5 | 1852.0 | 39.3 | 23.5 | 74.6 | 8.7 | 57.6 | 7.4 |
| 1991 | 56.3 | 7.0 | 2227.0 | 47.2 | 20.2 | 83.9 | 12.3 | 60.1 | 4.3 |
| 1992 | 58.9 | 4.6 | 2613.0 | 55.4 | 17.3 | 94.0 | 12.2 | 64.8 | 7.7 |
| 1993 | 65.1 | 10.5 | 2942.0 | 62.4 | 12.6 | 95.8 | 1.9 | 71.5 | 10.3 |
| 1994 | 69.8 | 7.3 | 3276.0 | 69.5 | 11.4 | 99.5 | 3.9 | 76.8 | 7.5 |
| 1995 | 74.0 | 6.0 | 3493.0 | 74.1 | 6.6 | 100.1 | 0.6 | 82.6 | 7.5 |
| 1996 | 78.9 | 6.6 | 3732.0 | 79.1 | 6.8 | 100.3 | 0.2 | 88.2 | 6.7 |
| 1997 | 84.1 | 6.6 | 4022.0 | 85.3 | 7.8 | 101.4 | 1.1 | 89.3 | 1.3 |
| 1998 | 89.8 | 6.8 | 4299.0 | 91.1 | 6.9 | 101.5 | 0.1 | 90.6 | 1.5 |
| 1999 | 96.0 | 6.9 | 4468.0 | 94.7 | 3.9 | 98.7 | -2.8 | 94.4 | 4.2 |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 4717.0 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 5.9 |
| 2001 | 105.4 | 5.4 | 5100.0 | 108.1 | 8.1 | 102.6 | 2.6 | 103.5 | 3.5 |
| 2002 | 112.1 | 6.4 | 5354.0 | 113.5 | 5.0 | 101.3 | -1.3 | 103.0 | -0.5 |
| 2003 | 116.5 | 3.9 | 5733.0 | 121.5 | 7.1 | 104.3 | 3.0 | 105.3 | 2.2 |
| 2004 | 122.0 | 4.7 | 6236.0 | 132.2 | 8.8 | 108.4 | 3.9 | 110.8 | 5.3 |
| 2005 | 128.0 | 4.9 | 6656.0 | 141.1 | 6.7 | 110.3 | 1.7 | 113.4 | 2.3 |
| 2006 | 139.4 | 8.9 | 7099.0 | 150.5 | 6.7 | 108.0 | -2.1 | 123.6 | 9.0 |
| $2007{ }^{1}$ | 151.7 | 8.8 | 7570.0 | 160.5 | 6.6 | 105.8 | -2.0 | 133.1 | 7.7 |
| $2008{ }^{1}$ | 166.4 | 9.7 | 7894.0 | 167.4 | 4.3 | 100.6 | -4.9 | 141.4 | 6.2 |
| $2009{ }^{1}$ | 170.6 | 2.5 | 8814.0 | 186.9 | 11.7 | 109.6 | 8.9 | 153.5 | 8.6 |
| 2010 | 175.6 | 2.9 | 9297.0 | 197.1 | 5.5 | 112.2 | 2.5 | 166.6 | 8.5 |

[^5]Table C. 6 - Gross Domestic Product by industry group at current basic prices, 2000-2010

| Industry | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 6,984 | 8,262 | 7,530 | 8,403 | 9,545 | 9,527 | 9,759 | 9,653 | 9,942 | 9,800 | 9,469 |
| Sugarcane | 3,499 | 4,422 | 3,651 | 4,287 | 5,073 | 5,031 | 4,869 | 4,334 | 4,230 | 3,489 | 2,863 |
| Other | 3,485 | 3,840 | 3,879 | 4,116 | 4,472 | 4,497 | 4,890 | 5,319 | 5,712 | 6,311 | 6,606 |
| Mining and quarrying | 163 | 156 | 99 | 88 | 90 | 88 | 85 | 79 | 108 | 101 | 107 |
| Manufacturing | 24,171 | 26,934 | 27,654 | 29,260 | 31,725 | 32,331 | 36,818 | 41,409 | 47,128 | 48,078 | 48,586 |
| Sugar | 840 | 1,436 | 1,271 | 1,359 | 1,624 | 1,327 | 1,308 | 1,175 | 1,141 | 988 | 838 |
| Food exc sugar | 4,223 | 4,672 | 5,124 | 6,345 | 7,231 | 8,307 | 10,193 | 12,226 | 15,943 | 16,021 | 16,573 |
| Textiles | 12,367 | 13,294 | 12,609 | 12,207 | 12,279 | 11,509 | 12,934 | 14,692 | 13,882 | 14,213 | 13,693 |
| Other | 6,741 | 7,532 | 8,650 | 9,349 | 10,591 | 11,188 | 12,383 | 13,316 | 16,162 | 16,856 | 17,482 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 1,760 | 2,578 | 2,947 | 3,368 | 3,505 | 3,228 | 3,239 | 3,321 | 4,590 | 5,498 | 5,401 |
| Construction | 5,715 | 6,272 | 6,969 | 8,263 | 8,840 | 9,071 | 10,242 | 13,282 | 16,473 | 17,486 | 18,231 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | 12,317 | 13,076 | 14,192 | 14,801 | 17,463 | 20,089 | 22,860 | 25,692 | 29,028 | 28,800 | 31,398 |
| Wholesale and retail trade | 11,767 | 12,446 | 13,462 | 13,851 | 16,313 | 18,689 | 21,060 | 23,482 | 26,378 | 25,850 | 28,118 |
| Repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | 550 | 630 | 730 | 950 | 1,150 | 1,400 | 1,800 | 2,210 | 2,650 | 2,950 | 3,280 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 6,383 | 8,243 | 8,424 | 8,984 | 10,950 | 12,008 | 14,763 | 18,673 | 19,170 | 16,754 | 18,514 |
| Transport , storage and communication | 13,608 | 15,323 | 16,886 | 18,437 | 19,522 | 20,006 | 21,544 | 23,790 | 23,922 | 24,194 | 25,361 |
| Financial intermediation | 9,409 | 9,594 | 10,791 | 13,447 | 13,786 | 15,483 | 17,522 | 20,826 | 23,909 | 25,411 | 26,578 |
| Insurance | 2,400 | 2,851 | 3,251 | 3,745 | 4,200 | 4,700 | 5,250 | 5,850 | 6,466 | 7,060 | 7,769 |
| Banks | 6,143 | 5,785 | 6,330 | 8,227 | 7,861 | 8,758 | 9,872 | 12,177 | 14,241 | 14,851 | 15,113 |
| Other | 866 | 958 | 1,210 | 1,475 | 1,725 | 2,025 | 2,400 | 2,799 | 3,202 | 3,500 | 3,696 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | 9,011 | 10,217 | 11,348 | 13,296 | 15,003 | 16,472 | 19,219 | 22,784 | 27,258 | 29,849 | 32,777 |
| Owner occupied dwellings | 4,458 | 4,989 | 5,538 | 6,672 | 7,245 | 7,811 | 8,702 | 9,633 | 10,832 | 11,191 | 11,725 |
| Other | 4,553 | 5,228 | 5,810 | 6,624 | 7,758 | 8,661 | 10,517 | 13,151 | 16,426 | 18,658 | 21,052 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 6,693 | 7,132 | 7,764 | 8,991 | 10,098 | 10,908 | 11,650 | 12,041 | 13,780 | 15,322 | 16,159 |
| Education | 4,637 | 4,980 | 5,462 | 6,104 | 6,880 | 7,537 | 8,268 | 8,947 | 10,273 | 11,080 | 11,686 |
| Health and social work | 2,990 | 3,236 | 3,680 | 4,272 | 4,950 | 5,433 | 6,143 | 6,712 | 7,729 | 8,936 | 9,694 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 3,484 | 3,776 | 4,250 | 4,771 | 5,378 | 6,035 | 7,013 | 8,240 | 9,805 | 10,857 | 12,050 |
| Gross Domestic Product at basic prices | 107,325 | 119,779 | 127,996 | 142,485 | 157,735 | 168,217 | 189,125 | 215,449 | 243,115 | 252,166 | 266,011 |
| Consumption of fixed capital | 16,449 | 17,711 | 19,115 | 20,628 | 23,107 | 25,852 | 29,505 | 34,683 | 38,774 | 40,918 | 42,588 |
| Net Domestic Product at basic prices | 90,876 | 102,068 | 108,881 | 121,857 | 134,628 | 142,365 | 159,620 | 180,766 | 204,341 | 211,248 | 223,423 |
| Export Oriented Enterprises | 12,263 | 13,441 | 13,322 | 13,079 | 13,233 | 13,004 | 15,208 | 17,795 | 17,839 | 17,413 | 17,305 |

[^6]Note: Figures are based on results of CEA 2007 and FISIM allocated to users

Table C. 7 - Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita and per worker, 2000-2010

| Year | Gross Domestic Product (at current basic prices) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Rupees Million) | Per Capita ${ }^{1}$ |  | Per Worker |  |
|  |  | (Rupees) | U.S.\$ | (Rupees) | U.S.\$ |
| 2000 | 107,325 | 90,405 | 3,443 | 221,334 | 8,429 |
| 2001 | 119,779 | 99,802 | 3,433 | 243,404 | 8,373 |
| 2002 | 127,996 | 105,739 | 3,529 | 259,521 | 8,662 |
| 2003 | 142,485 | 116,495 | 4,105 | 285,541 | 10,061 |
| 2004 | 157,735 | 127,858 | 4,607 | 312,842 | 11,274 |
| 2005 | 168,217 | 135,272 | 4,628 | 331,658 | 11,346 |
| 2006 | 189,125 | 150,939 | 4,846 | 367,019 | 11,782 |
| 2007 | 215,449 | 170,897 | 5,448 | 411,398 | 13,114 |
| 2008 | 243,115 | 191,602 | 6,756 | 447,726 | 15,787 |
| 2009 | 252,166 | 197,727 | 6,191 | 462,012 | 14,465 |
| 2010 | 266,011 | 207,624 | 6,721 | 476,637 | 15,430 |

[^7]Table C. 8 - Gross Domestic Product - sectoral real growth rates (\% over previous year), 2000-2010

| Industry | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | +33.2 | +8.5 | -17.5 | +3.1 | +9.5 | -4.2 | +0.9 | -5.4 | +3.0 | +8.8 | -1.5 |
| Sugarcane | +67.5 | +11.8 | -26.7 | +5.5 | +12.0 | -8.4 | -4.1 | -14.2 | +4.8 | +12.5 | -6.4 |
| Other | +9.2 | +5.1 | -6.9 | +0.8 | +6.8 | +0.6 | +6.6 | +3.4 | +1.5 | +6.2 | +1.2 |
| Mining and quarrying | -1.0 | -15.0 | -39.5 | -17.2 | -1.8 | -6.7 | -14.1 | -15.1 | +22.2 | -12.2 | +0.5 |
| Manufacturing | +7.5 | +5.0 | -2.7 | +1.0 | +0.8 | -3.5 | +4.8 | +2.3 | +3.2 | +2.1 | +2.9 |
| Sugar | +64.5 | +9.9 | -25.0 | +3.7 | +10.6 | -9.2 | -2.6 | -13.6 | +3.7 | +15.0 | -4.0 |
| Food exc sugar | +6.0 | +4.6 | +8.5 | +18.5 | +4.7 | +2.8 | +10.2 | +2.8 | +7.7 | +3.8 | +5.0 |
| Textiles | +6.0 | +4.8 | -7.1 | -5.7 | -6.7 | -9.6 | +5.2 | +9.9 | +0.1 | -0.2 | +1.0 |
| Other | +6.1 | +4.9 | +2.5 | +0.1 | +6.5 | +0.1 | +1.2 | -4.4 | +2.3 | +1.5 | +3.0 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | +12.5 | +11.7 | +1.4 | +9.2 | +4.1 | +1.6 | +0.0 | +2.7 | +6.1 | +0.1 | +3.3 |
| Construction | +8.5 | +6.0 | +6.3 | +13.3 | +0.5 | -4.7 | +5.9 | +16.0 | +11.6 | +6.2 | +4.3 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | +1.5 | +4.1 | +3.1 | +2.0 | +6.2 | +7.6 | +5.7 | +5.2 | +4.8 | +1.1 | +4.1 |
| Wholesale and retail trade | +1.2 | +3.9 | +2.8 | +1.1 | +5.6 | +7.0 | +4.8 | +4.5 | +4.4 | +0.3 | +3.7 |
| Other | +8.2 | +8.2 | +8.3 | +18.3 | +15.6 | +16.0 | +18.0 | +12.8 | +9.3 | +8.6 | +8.1 |
| Hotels and restaurants | +9.5 | +2.5 | +1.8 | +4.9 | +3.7 | +4.5 | +9.8 | +12.0 | +1.3 | -5.9 | +6.0 |
| Transport, storage and communications | +13.3 | +9.2 | +7.7 | +6.6 | +7.8 | +7.4 | +7.2 | +7.5 | +6.1 | +4.8 | +5.3 |
| Financial intermediation | +21.6 | -7.9 | +5.5 | +16.9 | -0.8 | +4.8 | +5.2 | +7.6 | +10.1 | +3.8 | +4.3 |
| Insurance | +5.0 | +5.0 | +5.0 | +5.0 | +5.0 | +5.0 | +5.0 | +5.1 | +5.0 | +4.0 | +4.5 |
| Banks | +32.5 | -14.8 | +2.9 | +23.1 | -6.4 | +3.3 | +4.0 | +8.8 | +12.7 | +3.0 | +3.9 |
| Other | +8.4 | +5.4 | +22.6 | +16.1 | +15.4 | +10.7 | +11.0 | +8.0 | +10.0 | +7.0 | +5.6 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | +7.0 | +7.8 | +2.5 | +12.7 | +7.5 | +4.7 | +7.0 | +9.0 | +8.7 | +6.1 | +6.5 |
| Owner occupied dwellings | +5.1 | +6.7 | +0.5 | +16.0 | +3.6 | +2.8 | +2.2 | +1.8 | +2.2 | +0.8 | +1.2 |
| Other | +9.0 | +8.9 | +4.5 | +9.6 | +11.5 | +6.5 | +11.3 | +15.0 | +13.5 | +9.6 | +9.6 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | +7.1 | +4.3 | +6.1 | +6.3 | +3.2 | +4.6 | +3.9 | +0.4 | +1.1 | +1.0 | +3.3 |
| Education | +8.9 | +3.6 | +5.0 | +4.7 | +5.5 | +5.3 | +3.7 | +2.3 | +3.0 | +2.5 | +4.1 |
| Health and social work | +5.9 | +5.7 | +9.2 | +7.1 | +7.3 | +6.0 | +8.0 | +5.8 | +4.6 | +7.5 | +6.3 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | +6.9 | +2.8 | +5.8 | +8.0 | +7.6 | +7.0 | +6.7 | +8.0 | +8.4 | +7.8 | +8.0 |
| Gross Domestic Product at basic prices | +10.2 | +4.6 | +1.6 | +6.3 | +4.3 | +2.7 | +5.6 | +5.7 | +5.5 | +3.1 | +4.4 |
| Gross Domestic Product at basic prices excluding sugar | +8.4 | +4.3 | +3.0 | +6.4 | +4.0 | +3.2 | +6.0 | +6.4 | +5.5 | +2.9 | +4.6 |
| Export Oriented Enterprises | +5.6 | +4.9 | -6.3 | -4.6 | -5.8 | -6.4 | +8.2 | +11.2 | +1.6 | -0.9 | +6.5 |

[^8]Table C.9-Compensation of employees by industry group at current prices, 2008-2010

| Industry | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing <br> Sugarcane <br> Other <br> Mining and quarrying <br> Manufacturing <br> Sugar <br> Food exc sugar <br> Textiles <br> Other <br> Electricity , gas and water supply <br> Construction <br> Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods <br> Wholesale and retail trade <br> Other <br> Hotels and restaurants <br> Transport, storage and communications <br> Financial intermediation <br> Insurance <br> Banks <br> Other <br> Real estate, renting and business activities <br> Other <br> Public administration and defence; compulsory social security <br> Education <br> Health and social work <br> Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 , 5 1 9} \\ 1,610 \\ 1,909 \\ \mathbf{3 5} \\ \mathbf{1 7 , 6 8 4} \\ 507 \\ 4,060 \\ 7,200 \\ 5,917 \\ \mathbf{1 , 0 8 6} \\ \mathbf{7 , 7 2 6} \\ \mathbf{8 , 6 4 9} \\ 7,893 \\ 756 \\ \mathbf{5 , 0 0 0} \\ \mathbf{9 , 0 1 8} \\ \mathbf{5 , 5 8 1} \\ 900 \\ 3,702 \\ 979 \\ \mathbf{6 , 8 0 8} \\ 6,808 \\ \mathbf{1 1 , 0 4 5} \\ \mathbf{7 , 1 7 1} \\ \mathbf{4 , 4 7 8} \\ \mathbf{3 , 9 0 4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{3 , 4 7 1} \\ 1,395 \\ 2,076 \\ \mathbf{3 4} \\ \mathbf{1 7 , 9 9 7} \\ 440 \\ 4,139 \\ 7,247 \\ 6,171 \\ \mathbf{1 , 2 1 3} \\ \mathbf{8 , 2 8 4} \\ \mathbf{8 , 5 7 6} \\ 7,736 \\ 840 \\ \mathbf{4 , 4 0 0} \\ \mathbf{9 , 4 8 6} \\ \mathbf{6 , 2 4 0} \\ 934 \\ 4,235 \\ 1,071 \\ \mathbf{7 , 7 1 9} \\ 7,719 \\ \mathbf{1 2 , 4 0 4} \\ \mathbf{7 , 9 3 0} \\ \mathbf{5 , 1 9 1} \\ \mathbf{4 , 3 7 9} \end{gathered}$ | 3,303 <br> 1,183 <br> 2,120 <br> $\mathbf{3 5}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 7 , 9 8 4}$ <br> 373 <br> 4,238 <br> 7,049 <br> 6,324 <br> $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{8 , 8 5 2}$ <br> $\mathbf{9 , 2 4 0}$ <br> 8,400 <br> 840 <br> $\mathbf{4 , 8 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{9 , 8 6 8}$ <br> $\mathbf{6 , 5 4 6}$ <br> 1,028 <br> 4,388 <br> 1,130 <br> $\mathbf{8 , 6 9 7}$ <br> 8,697 <br> $\mathbf{1 3 , 0 4 4}$ <br> $\mathbf{8 , 2 8 9}$ <br> $\mathbf{5 , 4 7 8}$ <br> $\mathbf{4 , 9 6 2}$ <br> $\mathbf{9}$ |
| TOTAL | 91,705 | 97,324 | 102,537 |

[^9]Table C. 10 - Compensation of employees as a percentage of value added by industry group, 2008-2010

| Industry | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 35.4 | 35.4 | 34.9 |
| Sugarcane | 38.1 | 40.0 | 41.3 |
| Other | 33.4 | 32.9 | 32.1 |
| Mining and quarrying | 32.3 | 33.7 | 32.7 |
| Manufacturing | 37.5 | 37.4 | 37.0 |
| Sugar | 44.4 | 44.5 | 44.5 |
| Food exc sugar | 25.5 | 25.8 | 25.6 |
| Textiles | 51.9 | 51.0 | 51.5 |
| Other | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.2 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 23.7 | 22.1 | 26.7 |
| Construction | 46.9 | 47.4 | 48.6 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade; repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles, personal and household goods | 29.8 | 29.8 | 29.4 |
| Wholesale and retail trade | 29.9 | 29.9 | 29.9 |
| Other | 28.5 | 28.5 | 25.6 |
| Hotels and restaurants | 26.1 | 26.3 | 25.9 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 37.7 | 39.2 | 38.9 |
| Financial intermediation | 23.3 | 24.6 | 24.6 |
| Insurance | 13.9 | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| Banks | 26.0 | 28.5 | 29.0 |
| Other (mainly banking including offshore) | 30.6 | 30.6 | 30.6 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | 25.0 | 25.9 | 26.5 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 80.2 | 81.0 | 80.7 |
| Education | 69.8 | 71.6 | 70.9 |
| Health and social work | 57.9 | 58.1 | 56.5 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 39.8 | 40.3 | 41.2 |
| TOTAL | 37.7 | 38.6 | 38.5 |

[^10]Table C. 11 - Compensation of employees by industry group for General Government, 2008-2010

| (R million) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry group | $2008{ }^{1}$ | $2009{ }^{1}$ | $2010{ }^{2}$ |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 1,085 | 1,157 | 1144 |
| Manufacturing | 55 | 61 | 60 |
| Construction | 397 | 408 | 395 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 99 | 111 | 108 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | 73 | 82 | 84 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 11,045 | 12,404 | 13,044 |
| Education | 4,271 | 4,830 | 5,088 |
| Health and social work | 3,823 | 4,432 | 4,623 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 60 | 64 | 66 |
| General Government | 20,909 | 23,549 | 24,613 |

Table C. 12 - Value added by industry group at current basic prices for General Government, 2008-2010

| (R million) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry group | $2008{ }^{1}$ | $2009{ }^{1}$ | $2010{ }^{2}$ |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 1,216 | 1,294 | 1,283 |
| Manufacturing | 55 | 61 | 60 |
| Construction | 397 | 408 | 395 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 257 | 266 | 265 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | 73 | 82 | 84 |
| Public administration and defence; compulsory social security | 13,780 | 15,322 | 16,159 |
| Education | 4,907 | 5,459 | 5,741 |
| Health and social work | 4,096 | 4,731 | 4,958 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 60 | 64 | 66 |
| General Government | 24,841 | 27,687 | 29,011 |

[^11]Table C. 13 - Composition of Gross Domestic Fixed Capital Formation at current prices by type and use, 2000-2010

| (R Million) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I - By type of capital goods | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Building \& construction work | 15,341 | 16,704 | 18,400 | 22,075 | 23,634 | 24,139 | 27,501 | 35,987 | 45,278 | 48,809 | 52,166 |
| Residential building | 6,368 | 7,099 | 6,955 | 7,954 | 8,503 | 8,278 | 9,768 | 11,663 | 15,281 | 16,531 | 18,769 |
| Non-residential building | 5,643 | 5,831 | 7,074 | 7,967 | 10,174 | 9,627 | 10,666 | 17,794 | 22,162 | 22,016 | 21,530 |
| Other construction work | 3,330 | 3,774 | 4,371 | 6,154 | 4,957 | 6,234 | 7,067 | 6,530 | 7,835 | 10,262 | 11,867 |
| B. Machinery and equipment | 12,254 | 13,277 | 12,675 | 14,433 | 15,637 | 16,972 | 24,194 | 25,253 | 22,251 | 25,621 | 22,229 |
| Aircraft | 0 | 1,100 | 365 | 1,070 | 219 | 120 | 5,675 | 2,515 | 0 | 3,400 | 0 |
| Marine vessel | 0 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 0 |
| Passenger car | 1,316 | 1,332 | 1,601 | 1,813 | 2,580 | 2,327 | 2,497 | 3,406 | 3,635 | 2,864 | 3,459 |
| Other transport equipment | 1,476 | 1,636 | 1,323 | 1,768 | 1,510 | 1,746 | 1,945 | 2,433 | 2,288 | 2,228 | 2,395 |
| Other machinery and equipment | 9,462 | 8,764 | 9,386 | 9,782 | 11,328 | 12,779 | 14,077 | 16,899 | 15,728 | 17,129 | 16,375 |
| Gross Domestic Fixed Capital Formation | 27,595 | 29,981 | 31,075 | 36,508 | 39,271 | 41,111 | 51,695 | 61,240 | 67,529 | 74,430 | 74,395 |
| GDFCF (excluding aircraft \& marine vessel) | 27,595 | 28,436 | 30,710 | 35,438 | 39,052 | 40,991 | 46,020 | 58,725 | 66,929 | 71,030 | 74,395 |
| II - By Industrial use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 677 | 650 | 832 | 983 | 1,365 | 2,255 | 2,814 | 2,583 | 2,751 | 1,840 | 1,743 |
| Mining and quarrying | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 10 | 29 | - | - |
| Manufacturing | 4,162 | 4,126 | 4,522 | 4,259 | 5,546 | 5,850 | 5,119 | 8,675 | 7,094 | 7,012 | 5,161 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | 1,921 | 1,595 | 1,452 | 1,849 | 1,826 | 2,791 | 3,294 | 2,203 | 921 | 1,953 | 2,764 |
| Construction | 572 | 481 | 141 | 635 | 765 | 719 | 988 | 1,659 | 1,947 | 2,039 | 2,191 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade and repairs | 2,193 | 2,179 | 2,501 | 2,537 | 2,539 | 2,776 | 3,029 | 4,893 | 5,590 | 4,791 | 6,225 |
| of which Wholesale and retail trade | $(2,146)$ | $(2,083)$ | $(2,444)$ |  |  |  | $(2,954)$ |  |  |  | $(6,013)$ |
| Restaurants and hotels | 2,791 | 2,976 | 3,878 | 3,277 | 5,235 | 4,243 | 6,618 | 10,212 | 12,004 | 12,821 | 12,684 |
| Transport, storage and communications | 4,327 | 6,344 | 4,396 | 5,766 | 4,217 | 4,701 | 10,745 | 8,861 | 6,951 | 11,048 | 8,966 |
| Financial intermediation | 535 | 672 | 935 | 799 | 955 | 1,341 | 1,690 | 1,451 | 1,255 | 1,462 | 2,447 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | 7,244 | 7,732 | 7,703 | 9,738 | 10,612 | 10,169 | 11,354 | 14,725 | 20,755 | 20,712 | 22,023 |
| Owner occupied dwellings | $(6,368)$ | $(7,099)$ | $(6,955)$ | $(7,954)$ | $(8,503)$ | $(8,278)$ | $(9,768)$ | $(11,663)$ | $(15,281)$ | $(16,531)$ | $(18,769)$ |
| Other | (876) | (633) | (748) | $(1,784)$ | $(2,109)$ | $(1,891)$ | $(1,586)$ | $(3,062)$ | $(5,474)$ | $(4,181)$ | $(3,254)$ |
| Public administration and defence;compulsory social security | 1,278 | 1,146 | 1,681 | 2,175 | 2,495 | 1,974 | 2,077 | 1,777 | 2,657 | 4,378 | 4,478 |
| Education | 487 | 514 | 1,041 | 1,266 | 1,192 | 1,351 | 1,032 | 1,131 | 1,705 | 1,733 | 1,130 |
| Health and social work | 343 | 332 | 606 | 641 | 743 | 573 | 634 | 780 | 1,325 | 1,936 | 1,835 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | 1,065 | 1,234 | 1,387 | 2,582 | 1,779 | 2,368 | 2,300 | 2,280 | 2,544 | 2,704 | 2,748 |
| Gross Domestic Fixed Capital Formation | 27,595 | 29,981 | 31,075 | 36,508 | 39,271 | 41,111 | 51,695 | 61,240 | 67,529 | 74,430 | 74,395 |
| GDFCF as a\% of GDP at market prices | 22.5 | 22.3 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 21.7 | 21.5 | 24.2 | 25.1 | 24.6 | 26.3 | 24.8 |

Table C. 14-Gross Domestic Fixed Capital Formation, Annual real growth rates (\%) by type and use, 2000-2010

|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $2010{ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I - By type of capital goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Building \& construction work | +10.1 | +4.9 | +5.2 | +14.6 | +0.7 | -5.2 | +6.9 | +17.0 | +13.3 | +7.7 | +7.0 |
| Residential building | +13.9 | +7.4 | -6.4 | +9.2 | +0.6 | -9.6 | +10.7 | +6.8 | +18.0 | +8.1 | +13.7 |
| Non-residential building | +7.4 | -0.4 | +15.9 | +7.6 | +20.1 | -12.1 | +3.9 | +49.2 | +12.2 | -0.8 | -2.1 |
| Other construction work | +7.7 | +9.2 | +10.6 | +34.5 | -24.2 | +16.8 | +6.3 | -17.4 | +8.1 | +30.8 | +15.8 |
| B. Machinery and equipment | -24.3 | +4.4 | -6.8 | +11.8 | +1.1 | +0.8 | +31.1 | -6.7 | -15.8 | +11.4 | -15.4 |
| Machinery and equipment(exc. aircraft \& marine vessel) | -8.6 | -7.8 | +2.3 | +6.5 | +7.6 | +1.5 | +4.0 | +9.0 | -9.1 | +0.8 | -2.4 |
| Passenger car | -2.5 | -2.2 | +19.0 | +12.2 | +31.6 | -16.2 | +7.5 | +32.7 | +5.6 | -25.8 | +17.8 |
| Other transport equipment | -65.1 | +108.2 | -47.5 | +66.5 | -42.3 | +0.2 | +301.1 | -38.0 | -41.1 | +70.3 | -58.7 |
| Other transport equipment(excluding aircraft \& marine vessel) | +1.9 | +7.1 | -19.9 | +32.3 | -19.1 | +7.4 | +9.4 | +19.3 | -5.1 | -14.9 | +4.9 |
| Other machinery and equipment | -10.8 | -10.9 | +4.0 | +1.9 | +8.0 | +4.7 | -4.1 | +3.3 | -12.7 | +9.2 | -6.7 |
| Gross Domestic Fixed Capital Formation | -8.3 | +4.7 | -0.1 | +13.4 | +0.9 | -2.8 | +17.5 | +5.9 | +1.3 | +8.9 | -0.7 |
| GDFCF (excluding aircraft \& marine vessel) | +1.0 | -0.8 | +4.0 | +11.3 | +3.3 | -2.5 | +5.7 | +13.8 | +4.6 | +5.5 | +3.7 |
| II - By Industrial use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | -22.9 | -7.5 | +24.1 | +14.7 | +30.1 | +53.4 | +17.4 | -18.2 | +0.3 | -33.9 | -6.6 |
| Mining and quarrying | - | - | - | - | +139.9 | -100.0 | - | +622.8 | +183.5 | -100.0 | - |
| Manufacturing | -3.7 | -4.6 | +6.2 | -8.2 | +21.7 | -2.1 | -17.6 | +48.4 | -23.7 | -1.4 | -28.0 |
| Electricity, gas and water supply | -28.4 | -20.1 | -12.5 | +22.7 | -7.6 | +41.9 | +10.8 | -41.2 | -61.0 | +112.2 | +39.6 |
| Construction | +4.0 | -19.1 | -71.5 | +342.8 | +12.5 | -12.7 | +30.1 | +49.8 | +9.1 | +3.1 | +6.1 |
| Wholesale \& retail trade and repairs | +11.1 | -4.2 | +11.0 | -1.6 | -6.3 | +1.5 | +3.6 | +46.0 | +5.9 | -15.7 | +26.9 |
| of which Wholesale and retail trade | +13.8 | -6.5 | +13.4 | -2.0 | -6.9 | +1.9 | +4.0 | +41.4 | +6.4 | -13.5 | +29.6 |
| Restaurants and hotels | -3.6 | +2.7 | +24.9 | -18.9 | +50.1 | -24.7 | +46.4 | +37.7 | +6.6 | +6.7 | -1.2 |
| Transport, storage and communications | -41.3 | +41.3 | -32.7 | +27.8 | -31.5 | +3.5 | +119.2 | -24.8 | -26.6 | +51.3 | -19.7 |
| Financial intermediation | -15.2 | +21.1 | +34.8 | -16.7 | +11.7 | +30.4 | +18.8 | -22.9 | -18.7 | +15.3 | +65.7 |
| Real estate, renting and business activities | +13.6 | +2.8 | -4.7 | +21.0 | +2.4 | -11.0 | +5.0 | +16.5 | +27.9 | -0.5 | +6.2 |
| Owner occupied dwellings | +13.9 | +7.4 | -6.4 | +9.2 | +0.6 | -9.6 | +10.7 | +6.8 | +18.0 | +8.1 | +13.7 |
| Other | +11.8 | -30.4 | +15.2 | +130.4 | +10.8 | -16.7 | -20.2 | +76.0 | +65.3 | -24.4 | -23.4 |
| Public administration and defence;compulsory social security | -2.7 | -13.6 | +41.3 | +24.6 | +7.7 | -26.5 | -1.0 | -23.6 | +37.5 | +61.8 | +1.7 |
| Education | +8.5 | +1.6 | +94.3 | +16.8 | -11.6 | +5.3 | -28.3 | -3.2 | +37.6 | +1.5 | -35.4 |
| Health and social work | +41.8 | -6.8 | +76.5 | +2.4 | +8.5 | -28.3 | +3.9 | +7.1 | +56.1 | +45.7 | -6.2 |
| Other community, social and personal service activities and private households with employed persons | +152.4 | +11.8 | +7.8 | +79.1 | -35.4 | +23.6 | -8.6 | -11.6 | +2.9 | +5.5 | +0.6 |
| Gross Domestic Fixed Capital Formation | -8.3 | +4.7 | -0.1 | +13.4 | +0.9 | -2.8 | +17.5 | +5.9 | +1.3 | +8.9 | -0.7 |

[^12]Table C. 15 - Exports and imports of goods and services, 1990-2010

| Year | Exports of goods and services (Rs Mn) <br> (a) | Imports of goods and services (Rs Mn) (b) | GDP Market Prices (Rs Mn) <br> ( c) | Net exports goods and services (Rs Mn) $(\mathbf{a}-\mathrm{b})$ | Net exports to Exports (a-b)/a\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net exports } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { GDP } \\ (\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}) / \mathbf{c} \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total Trade (Rs Mn) $(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total trade } \\ \text { as a \% } \\ \text { of GDP } \\ (\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) / \mathbf{c} \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 25,619 | 28,458 | 39,440 | -2,839 | -11.1 | -7.2 | 54,077 | 137.1 |
| 1991 | 27,861 | 29,535 | 44,717 | -1,674 | -6.0 | -3.7 | 57,396 | 128.4 |
| 1992 | 29,759 | 31,386 | 50,180 | -1,627 | -5.5 | -3.2 | 61,145 | 121.9 |
| 1993 | 33,543 | 37,021 | 57,592 | -3,478 | -10.4 | -6.0 | 70,564 | 122.5 |
| 1994 | 36,249 | 41,833 | 63,906 | -5,584 | -15.4 | -8.7 | 78,082 | 122.2 |
| 1995 | 41,205 | 42,908 | 70,246 | -1,703 | -4.1 | -2.4 | 84,113 | 119.7 |
| 1996 | 50,465 | 51,010 | 79,365 | -545 | -1.1 | -0.7 | 101,475 | 127.9 |
| 1997 | 54,194 | 58,498 | 88,175 | -4,304 | -7.9 | -4.9 | 112,692 | 127.8 |
| 1998 | 65,711 | 66,543 | 100,042 | -832 | -1.3 | -0.8 | 132,254 | 132.2 |
| 1999 | 69,800 | 73,176 | 109,400 | -3,376 | -4.8 | -3.1 | 142,976 | 130.7 |
| 2000 | 74,786 | 74,938 | 122,410 | -152 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 149,723 | 122.3 |
| 2001 | 91,369 | 83,043 | 134,392 | 8,326 | 9.1 | 6.2 | 174,412 | 129.8 |
| 2002 | 89,366 | 84,443 | 145,055 | 4,924 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 173,809 | 119.8 |
| 2003 | 90,895 | 87,818 | 162,291 | 3,077 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 178,712 | 110.1 |
| 2004 | 96,466 | 99,763 | 180,908 | -3,297 | -3.4 | -1.8 | 196,229 | 108.5 |
| 2005 | 112,969 | 122,916 | 191,393 | -9,947 | -8.8 | -5.2 | 235,885 | 123.2 |
| 2006 | 128,994 | 151,434 | 213,444 | -22,440 | -17.4 | -10.5 | 280,428 | 131.4 |
| 2007 | 141,187 | 163,896 | 243,998 | -22,709 | -16.1 | -9.3 | 305,082 | 125.0 |
| 2008 | 145,204 | 181,319 | 274,316 | -36,115 | -24.9 | -13.2 | 326,523 | 119.0 |
| 2009 | 138,168 | 164,622 | 282,905 | -26,454 | -19.1 | -9.4 | 302,790 | 107.0 |
| $2010{ }^{1}$ | 156,255 | 190,912 | 299,967 | -34,657 | -22.2 | -11.6 | 347,167 | 115.7 |

[^13]Table C. 16 - Export \& Import Price Indices and Terms of Trade, 2006-2010

| Year | Export Price |  | Import Price |  | (Reference Year 2007 = 100) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thdex (A) | Annual change (\%) | Index (B) | Annual change (\%) |  |
| 2006 | 95.0 | 6.5 | 94.5 | 12.6 | 101 |
| 2007 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 100 | 5.8 | 100 |
| 2008 | 97.2 | -2.8 | 109.6 | 9.6 | 89 |
| 2009 | 96.7 | -0.5 | 103.2 | -5.8 | 94 |
| 2010 | 93.6 | -3.2 | 110.6 | 7.2 | 85 |

Prior to 2005, terms of trade was computed using Export Unit Value Index and Import Unit Value Index.

The IPI provides an overall measure of pure price changes (in Mauritian Rupees) of goods imported into the country.

The Export Price Index (EPI) provides an overall measure of pure price changes (in MauritianRupees) of domestically produced goods exported to other countries.

Table C. 17 - Export and import of goods by the EPZ/EOE sector, 1990-2010

| Year | Exports of goods (Rs Mn) <br> (a) | Imports of goods (Rs Mn) <br> (b) | Value <br> Added ${ }^{1}$ <br> (Rs Mn) <br> ( c) | Net exports of goods (Rs Mn) (a-b) | Net exports to Exports (a-b)/a\% | ```Net exports to Value Added \({ }^{1}\) (a-b)/c\%``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 11,474 | 7,348 | 3,965 | 4,126 | 36.0 | 104.1 |
| 1991 | 12,136 | 7,067 | 4,390 | 5,069 | 41.8 | 115.5 |
| 1992 | 13,081 | 7,132 | 4,990 | 5,949 | 45.5 | 119.2 |
| 1993 | 15,821 | 9,326 | 5,697 | 6,495 | 41.1 | 114.0 |
| 1994 | 16,533 | 10,125 | 6,351 | 6,408 | 38.8 | 100.9 |
| 1995 | 18,267 | 10,856 | 7,067 | 7,411 | 40.6 | 104.9 |
| 1996 | 21,000 | 12,077 | 8,202 | 8,923 | 42.5 | 108.8 |
| 1997 | 23,049 | 13,880 | 9,179 | 9,169 | 39.8 | 99.9 |
| 1998 | 26,075 | 16,179 | 10,510 | 9,896 | 38.0 | 94.2 |
| 1999 | 29,131 | 15,735 | 11,508 | 13,396 | 46.0 | 116.4 |
| 2000 | 30,961 | 16,399 | 12,263 | 14,562 | 47.0 | 118.7 |
| 2001 | 33,695 | 17,140 | 13,441 | 16,555 | 49.1 | 123.2 |
| 2002 | 32,683 | 16,909 | 13,322 | 15,774 | 48.3 | 121.2 |
| 2003 | 31,444 | 15,579 | 13,079 | 15,865 | 50.5 | 121.3 |
| 2004 | 32,046 | 17,195 | 13,233 | 14,851 | 46.3 | 112.2 |
| 2005 | 28,954 | 15,518 | 13,004 | 13,436 | 46.4 | 103.3 |
| 2006 | 33,610 | 19,026 | 15,209 | 14,584 | 43.4 | 95.9 |
| 2007 | 37,840 | 21,036 | 17,795 | 16,804 | 44.4 | 94.4 |
| $2008{ }^{1}$ | 35,080 | 20,172 | 17,839 | 14,908 | 42.5 | 83.6 |
| $2009{ }^{1}$ | 35,972 | 17,332 | 17,413 | 18,640 | 51.8 | 107.0 |
| $2010{ }^{2}$ | 39,817 | 20,617 | 17,305 | 19,200 | 48.2 | 110.9 |

Table C. 18 - Evolution of market share in main partner countries by product group, 2007-2010
SITC GROUP 841 : Men's or boys coats, jackets, suits, blazers, trousers, shirts, underwear, knitwear and similar articles of textile fabrics not knitted or crocheted.

| Country | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | $2009{ }^{1}$ |  |  | $2010{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from <br> Mauritius <br> $(000$ US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius | Market share |
| United Kingdom | 3,960,758 | 37,906 | 1.0 | 3,870,836 | 26,470 | 0.7 | 3,373,583 | 21,100 | 0.6 | 3,462,009 | 11,607 | 0.3 |
| France | 3,376,564 | 19,912 | 0.6 | 3,802,101 | 19,141 | 0.5 | 3,322,702 | 13,945 | 0.4 | 3,259,375 | 11,135 | 0.3 |
| USA | 14,145,906 | 80,304 | 0.6 | 13,355,959 | 78,670 | 0.6 | 11,402,232 | 73,985 | 0.6 | 12,915,271 | 96,016 | 0.7 |
| Germany | 5,899,206 | 14,697 | 0.2 | 6,546,448 | 11,220 | 0.2 | 5,765,161 | 7,386 | 0.1 | 6,104,257 | 6,929 | 0.1 |
| Italy | 3,267,067 | 4,092 | 0.1 | 3,423,911 | 6,169 | 0.2 | 3,008,737 | 5,825 | 0.2 | 3,164,074 | 4,444 | 0.1 |

SITC GROUP 842 : Women's and girls', coats, capes, jackets, suits, blazers, trousers, skirts, shirts, underwear, knitwear and similar articles of textile fabrics not knitted or crocheted.

| Country | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | $2009{ }^{1}$ |  |  | $2010{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from <br> Mauritius <br> (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius | Market share |
| United Kingdom | 6,368,438 | 653 | 0.0 | 6,138,070 | 633 | 0.0 | 5,295,358 | 830 | 0.0 | 5,407,550 | 251 | 0.0 |
| France | 4,842,276 | 9,710 | 0.2 | 5,331,522 | 11,340 | 0.2 | 4,795,360 | 8,887 | 0.2 | 4,703,891 | 9,232 | 0.2 |
| USA | 18,790,962 | 9,481 | 0.1 | 17,544,126 | 13,958 | 0.1 | 14,728,612 | 21,928 | 0.1 | 15,747,600 | 23,910 | 0.2 |
| Germany | 7,054,659 | 2,426 | 0.0 | 7,329,785 | 2,846 | 0.0 | 6,710,151 | 2,739 | 0.0 | 6,780,261 | 2,948 | 0.0 |
| Italy | 3,083,111 | 8,500 | 0.3 | 3,327,063 | 6,771 | 0.2 | 2,964,123 | 6,530 | 0.2 | 3,011,660 | 2,521 | 0.1 |

SITC GROUP 843 : Men's or boys coats, capes, jackets, suits, blazers, trousers, shorts, shirts, underwear, knitwear and similar articles of textile fabrics knitted or crocheted.

|  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | $2009{ }^{1}$ |  |  | $2010{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from <br> Mauritius <br> (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius | Market share |
| United Kingdom | 1,208,206 | 15,838 | 1.3 | 1,170,408 | 18,330 | 1.6 | 1,062,367 | 13,472 | 1.3 | 1,220,928 | 16,548 | 1.4 |
| France | 768,077 | 18,822 | 2.5 | 886,539 | 15,954 | 1.8 | 840,345 | 16,602 | 2.0 | 851,001 | 10,800 | 1.3 |
| USA | 5,591,114 | 12,963 | 0.2 | 5,395,648 | 3,027 | 0.1 | 4,577,562 | 661 | 0.0 | 5,342,796 | 2,216 | 0.0 |
| Germany | 1,021,734 | 3,784 | 0.4 | 1,127,286 | 3,432 | 0.3 | 1,027,365 | 977 | 0.1 | 1,120,974 | 603 | 0.1 |
| Italy | 827,687 | 16,653 | 2.0 | 955,012 | 11,777 | 1.2 | 840,286 | 5,609 | 0.7 | 908,673 | 4,290 | 0.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Revised
${ }^{2}$ Provisional

Table C. 18 (cont'd) - Evolution of market share in main partner countries by product group, 2007-2010

SITC GROUP 844 : Women's and girls' coats, capes, jackets, suits, blazers, trousers, shorts, shirts. underwear, knitwear and similar articles of textile fabrics knitted or crocheted.

|  | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | $2009{ }^{1}$ |  |  | $2010{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from <br> Mauritius <br> (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius | Market share |
| United Kingdom | 2,226,704 | 18,440 | 0.8 | 2,167,626 | 20,842 | 1.0 | 2,085,939 | 19,170 | 0.9 | 2,489,767 | 28,907 | 1.2 |
| France | 1,886,577 | 13,350 | 0.7 | 2,088,865 | 13,953 | 0.7 | 1,951,219 | 17,935 | 0.9 | 2,151,875 | 19,051 | 0.9 |
| USA | 867,411 | 1,894 | 0.2 | 8,539,908 | 328 | 0.0 | 7,681,791 | 354 | 0.0 | 8,990,968 | 1,094 | 0.0 |
| Germany | 2,690,847 | 850 | 0.0 | 3,022,619 | 3,229 | 0.1 | 3,064,479 | 1,277 | 0.0 | 3,484,766 | 280 | 0.0 |
| Italy | 1,155,290 | 3,035 | 0.3 | 1,275,701 | 2,213 | 0.2 | 1,227,043 | 1,826 | 0.1 | 1,320,979 | 1,612 | 0.1 |

SITC GROUP 845 : Articles of apparel of textile fabrics, whether or not knitted or crocheted, n.e.s.

| Country | 2007 |  |  | 2008 |  |  | $2009{ }^{1}$ |  |  | $2010{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius (000 US \$) | Market share | Total Imports (000 US \$) | of which from Mauritius | Market share |
| United Kingdom | 8,585,165 | 227,654 | 2.7 | 8,727,070 | 226,595 | 2.6 | 7,732,828 | 194,926 | 2.5 | 8,044,046 | 190,767 | 2.4 |
| France | 7,733,444 | 145,859 | 1.9 | 8,498,185 | 134,664 | 1.6 | 7,586,285 | 99,580 | 1.3 | 7,971,087 | 98,322 | 1.2 |
| USA | 28,446,536 | 10,983 | 0.0 | 28,091,112 | 6,029 | 0.0 | 25,169,270 | 3,914 | 0.0 | 28,711,793 | 9,876 | 0.0 |
| Germany | 9,769,053 | 16,662 | 0.2 | 10,726,906 | 13,518 | 0.1 | 10,479,697 | 14,317 | 0.1 | 11,014,642 | 10,027 | 0.1 |
| Italy | 5,782,422 | 20,829 | 0.4 | 6,296,618 | 13,384 | 0.2 | 5,705,549 | 8,988 | 0.2 | 5,801,312 | 5,242 | 0.1 |

Source: Comtrade.un.org and CSO estimates

Table C. 19 - Budgetary Central Government Debt and Net International Reserves, 1990-2010 (June)

| Year | Budgetary Central Government Debt (Rs Mn) | GDP at market prices | Budgetary Central Government Debt as \% of GDP | Government <br> Deficit <br> (Rs Mn) | Government Deficit as \% of GDP | Net International Reserves |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount (Rs Mn) | No. of weeks of imports |
| 1990 | 19,928 | 39,440 | 50.5 | 766 | 1.9 | 9,632 | 23 |
| 1991 | 22,917 | 44,717 | 51.2 | 780 | 1.7 | 12,183 | 26 |
| 1992 | 20,460 | 50,180 | 40.8 | 1,307 | 2.6 | 15,179 | 31 |
| 1993 | 22,234 | 57,592 | 38.6 | 1,073 | 1.9 | 14,226 | 27 |
| 1994 | 24,442 | 63,906 | 38.2 | 1,499 | 2.3 | 13,947 | 23 |
| 1995 | 27,443 | 70,246 | 39.1 | 2,426 | 3.5 | 13,241 | 19 |
| 1996* | 33,805 | 79,365 | 42.6 | 4,090 | 5.2 | 15,561 | 22 |
| 1997* | 39,478 | 88,175 | 44.8 | 3,666 | 4.2 | 21,443 | 27 |
| 1998* | 45,370 | 100,042 | 45.4 | 3,408 | 3.4 | 21,339 | 25 |
| 1999* | 51,011 | 109,400 | 46.6 | 3,650 | 3.3 | 22,575 | 24 |
| 2000* | 56,830 | 122,410 | 46.4 | 3,529 | 2.9 | 25,214 | 24 |
| 2001* | 60,561 | 134,392 | 45.1 | 5,469 | 4.1 | 31,760 | 29 |
| 2002* | 75,879 | 145,055 | 52.3 | 8,507 | 5.9 | 40,551 | 35 |
| 2003 | 95,486 | 162,291 | 58.8 | 9,512 | 5.9 | 48,414 | 39 |
| 2004 | 93,447 | 180,908 | 51.7 | 8,788 | 4.9 | 50,021 | 34 |
| 2005 | 105,816 | 191,393 | 55.3 | 9,005 | 4.7 | 53,932 | 30 |
| 2006 | 113,364 | 213,444 | 53.1 | 10,345 | 4.8 | 61,974 | 30 |
| 2007 | 122,120 | 243,998 | 50.0 | 9,439 | 3.9 | 83,500 | 37 |
| 2008 | 122,286 | 274,316 | 44.6 | 8,321 | 3.0 | 83,946 | 33 |
| 2009 | 134,935 | 282,905 | 47.7 | 8,432 | 3.0 | 97,802 | 44 |
| 2010 | 150,758 | 299,967 | 50.3 | 6,416 | 2.1 | 102,773 | 40 |

* From 1996-2002, Government deficit excludes loan to National Infrastructure Development Fund (NIDF) and Privatisation Fund

Data for Budgetary Central Government Debt and Government Deficit are as at end of June up to 2009. As from 2010 data are on calendar year basis

## D. INFRASTRUCTURE QUALITY RELATED INDICATORS

Table D. 1 - ICT access as at end of year, 2005-2009

| ICT access | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Fixed telephone lines ('000) | 357.5 | 357.3 | 361.3 | 363.4 | 375.2 |
| 2. Fixed telephone lines per 100 inhabitants | 28.6 | 28.4 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 29.4 |
| 3. Mobile cellular subscriptions ('000) | 656.8 | 772.4 | 928.6 | 1033.3 | 1086.7 |
| of which pre-paid | 610.5 | 723.6 | 871.4 | 969.8 | 1013.0 |
| postpaid | 46.3 | 48.8 | 57.2 | 63.5 | 73.7 |
| 4. Mobile cellular subscriptions per 100 inhabitants | 52.6 | 61.5 | 73.4 | 81.2 | 85.0 |
| 5. Internet subscriptions ('000) | 128.6 | 143.5 | 166.0 | 199.5 | 286.0 |
| of which fixed ${ }^{1}$ | 85.5 | 82.4 | 87.6 | 94.7 | 107.0 |
| mobile | 43.1 | 61.1 | 78.4 | 104.8 | 179.0 |
| 6. Internet subscriptions per 100 inhabitants | 10.3 | 11.4 | 13.1 | 15.7 | 22.4 |
| of which fixed ${ }^{1}$ | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.9 | $7.4^{3}$ | 8.4 |
| mobile | 3.5 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 14.0 |
| 7. Broadband internet ${ }^{2}$ subscriptions ('000) | 51.4 | 87.1 | 119.0 | 157.3 | 254.3 |
| of which fixed ${ }^{1}$ | 8.3 | 26.0 | 40.6 | 52.5 | 75.3 |
| mobile | 43.1 | 61.1 | 78.4 | 104.8 | 179.0 |
| 8. Broadband internet ${ }^{2}$ subscriptions per 100 inhabitants | 4.1 | 6.9 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 19.9 |
| of which fixed ${ }^{1}$ | 0.7 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 5.9 |
| mobile | 3.5 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 8.2 | 14.0 |

[^14]Table D. 2 - Selected telephone and internet tariffs as at end of year, 2005-2009

| Telephone and internet | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Fixed telephone Local call Peak | Rs 0.85 for first minute and Rs 0.01 per second thereafter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Off-peak | Rs 0.85 for first minute and Rs 0.01 per second thereafter |  | Rs 0.60 for first minute and Rs 0.01 per second thereafter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential monthly line rental Business monthly line rental | 90.00 |  | 90.00 |  | 90.00 |  | 90.00 |  |  | 90.00 |
| 2. Mobile Cellular telephone <br> On same network | 60 cents for first 30 seconds with 2 cents for every additional second thereafter |  | 2 cents per second |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To a different network <br> To a fixed telephone | Rs 1.95 for first 30 seconds and 6.5 cents for every additional second thereafter |  | 6.5 cents per second |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rs 2.25 for first 30 seconds and 7 cents for every additional second thereafter |  | 7.25 cents per second |  |  |  | 5.8 cents per second |  |  |  |
| 3. International Direct Dialling- | 2005 |  | 2006 |  | 2007 |  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  |
| telephone to: | Peak | Off-peak | Peak | Off-peak | Peak | Off-peak | Peak | Off-peak | Peak | Off-peak |
| Australia | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| New Zealand | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| Reunion Island | 10.20 | 7.20 | 9.00 | 7.20 | 9.00 | 7.20 | 8.70 | 6.90 | 8.70 | 6.90 |
| Madagascar | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| South Africa | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| France | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| Germany | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| UK\&North Ireland | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| USA | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| China | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Hong Kong | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| Malaysia | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| Japan | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| Singapore | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 10.50 | 9.30 |
| India | 15.60 | 12.00 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.80 | 9.60 | 10.50 | 9.30 | 4.40 | 4.40 |
| 4. Internet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dial up per minute (Peak time) | 0.57 |  | 0.57 |  | 0.57 |  | 0.57 |  | 0.57 |  |
| Dial up per minute (Off-Peak time) ADSL 128 kbps (per month) | 0.27 |  | 0.27 |  | 0.27 |  | 0.27 |  | 0.27 |  |
| Residential use | 990 |  | 750 |  | 750 |  | 750 |  | n.a |  |
| Business use ${ }^{1}$ | 1,900 |  | 1,860 |  | 1,860 |  | 1,860 |  | 1,600 |  |
| ADSL 512 kbps (per month) Residential use | 90 |  | 1,360 |  | 1,360 |  | 1,360 |  | 750 |  |
| Business use | 3,600 |  | 3,190 |  | 3,190 |  | 3,190 |  | 2,500 |  |
| ADSL 1 mbps (per month) | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,990 |  | 1,360 |  |
| Residential use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business use | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,990 |  | 5,000 |  |

## Source: Information and Communication Technologies Authority (ICTA)

${ }^{1}$ upgraded to 256 kbps in 2006

Table D. 3 - Electricity Tariffs for Commercial and Industrial consumers, 2010 \& 2011

## Commercial Tariff

| Tariff ${ }^{1}$ | Running Charge per kWh |  | Demand Charge per kVA |  | Minimum Charge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2010{ }^{3}$ | $2011{ }^{4}$ | $2010{ }^{3}$ | $2011{ }^{4}$ | $2010{ }^{3}$ | $2011{ }^{4}$ |
| 215 | Rs 9.10 | Rs 10.01 | - | - | Rs 178.00 per month or part thereof per kW or fraction thereof of total connected load, subject to a minimum of Rs 178.00 per month | Rs 196.00 per month or part thereof per kW or fraction thereof of total connected load, subject to a minimum of Rs 196.00 per month |
| 217 | Rs 5.58 | Rs 6.14 | Rs 186.00 per kVA of Maximum Demand, subject to a min. of 20 kVA | Rs 186.00 per kVA of Maximum Demand, subject to a min. of 20 kVA | A sum equal to the highest Demand charge paid in any one of the preceding 6 months of account | A sum equal to the highest Demand charge paid in any one of the preceding 6 months of account |

${ }^{1}$ Tariff: 215-Flat Rate Tariff for Commercial Consumers
217-Maximum Demand Tariff for Commercial and Bulk Consumers

## Industrial Tariff

| Tariff ${ }^{2}$ | Running Charge per kWh |  | Demand Charge per kVA |  | Minimum Charge |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2010{ }^{3}$ | $2011{ }^{4}$ | $2010{ }^{3}$ | $2011{ }^{4}$ | $2010{ }^{3}$ | $2011{ }^{4}$ |
| 313 | Rs 2.84 | Rs 3.12 | Rs 144.00 per kVA of Maximum Demand, subject to a min. of 20 KVA | Rs 144.00 per kVA of Maximum Demand, subject to a min. of 20 KVA | A sum equal to the highest Demand charge paid in any one of the preceding 6 months of account | A sum equal to the highest Demand charge paid in any one of the preceding 6 months of account |
| 315 | Rs 4.91 | Rs 5.40 | - | - | Rs 103.00 per month or part thereof per kW or fraction thereof of total connected load, subject to a min. of Rs 103.00 per month | Rs 113.00 per month or part thereof per kW or fraction thereof of total connected load, subject to a min. of Rs 113.00 per month |
| 317 | Rs 2.60 1st <br> $250,000 \mathrm{kWh}$ <br> Rs 2.28 all <br> additional kWh | Rs 2.861 st <br> $250,000 \mathrm{kWh}$ <br> Rs 2.51 all <br> additional kWh | Rs 144.00 per kVA of Maximum Demand, subject to a min. of 20 kVA | Rs 144.00 per kVA of Maximum Demand, subject to a min. of 20 kVA | A sum equal to the highest Demand charge paid in any one of the preceding 6 months of account | A sum equal to the highest Demand charge paid in any one of the preceding 6 months of account |

[^15]
## Source: Central Electricity Board

Table D. 4 - Water Tariffs for Commercial and Industrial consumers, 2000, 2009-2010

Rupees

| Tariff | Commercial consumers |  |  | Industrial consumers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| First 100 cubic metres | 11.00 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 9.50 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Next 150 cubic metres | 14.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 11.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| All additional cubic metres | 18.00 | 21.00 | 21.00 | 14.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
| Minimum charge per month | 187.00 | 212.50 | 212.50 | 237.50 | 250.00 | 250.00 |
| Ground water per cubic | na | na | na | 2.73 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| metre |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Effective as from 01 February 2000
${ }^{2}$ Effective as from 01 August 2002
na: Not applicable
Source: Central Water Authority

Table D.5-Road network, 2006-2010

| Year | Length of roads (km) |  |  |  |  | Number of <br> vehicles <br> per km of <br> road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Motorways | Main roads | Secondary <br> roads | Other roads | Total |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 75 | 955 | 593 | 398 | 2021 | 158 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 75 | 962 | 593 | 398 | 2028 | 165 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | 75 | 962 | 593 | 398 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 8}$ | 173 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | 75 | 1000 | 593 | 398 | $\mathbf{2 0 6 6}$ | 177 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | 75 | 1014 | 593 | 398 | $\mathbf{2 0 8 0}$ | 185 |

[^16]Table D. 6 - Monthly rent of industrial building per square foot, 2009-2010

|  |  | Rupees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Ground Floor | 65.00 | 65.00 |
| First Floor | 44.00 | 44.00 |
| Second Floor | 38.00 | 38.00 |

Source: Development Bank of Mauritius
Table D. 7 - Export rates of textile products from SSR International Airport to selected
Airports, 2009-2010

| Destination | Minimum |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ k g}<500 \mathrm{~kg}$ |  | $500 \mathrm{~kg}<\mathbf{1 0 0 0} \mathrm{kg}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0 k g}$ or more |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| London | 1070.00 | 1070.00 | 67.55 | 67.55 | 52.45 | 52.45 | 44.60 | 44.60 |
| Paris | 1070.00 | 1070.00 | 67.55 | 67.55 | 52.45 | 52.45 | 44.60 | 44.60 |
| Munich | 1130.00 | 1130.00 | 71.00 | 71.00 | 52.45 | 52.45 | 44.60 | 44.60 |
| Zurich | 1070.00 | 1070.00 | 67.55 | 67.55 | 52.45 | 52.45 | 44.60 | 44.60 |

Note: Except for the minimum charge, all rates are per kilo or 6000 c.c, which ever is higher

## Source: Air Mauritius - Cargo Department

Table D. 8 - Import rates of textile products from selected Airports to SSR International
2009-2010

Rupees

| Port of embarcation | Currency | Minimum |  | 100 kg < 500kg |  | 500kg < 1000kg |  | 1000kg or more |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Hong Kong | HKD | 358.00 | 358.00 | 26.25 | 30.80 | 26.25 | 28.68 | 26.25 | 27.63 |
| Jakarta | USD | 61.00 | 61.00 | 4.62 | 4.62 | 3.78 | 3.78 | 3.57 | 3.57 |
| Johanesburg | USD | 40.00 | 40.00 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Kuala Lumpur | USD | 50.00 | 50.00 | 2.89 | 2.89 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.47 | 2.47 |
| Mumbai | INR | 2100.00 | 2100.00 | 155.00 | 155.00 | 80.00 | 80.00 | 80.00 | 80.00 |
| Singapore | SGD | 60.00 | 60.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.35 | 4.35 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| Tokyo via Hong Kong | JPY | 13800.00 | 13800.00 | 597.50 | 597.50 | 564.00 | 564.00 | 540.00 | 540.00 |

Note: Except for the minimum charge, all rates are per kilo or 6000 c.c, which ever is higher

## Source: Air Mauritius - Cargo Department

## E. INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON OF COMPETITIVENESS INDICATORS

Table E. 1 - Exchange Rates - National currency units per U.S Dollar, 2000-2010

| Country | Currency | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mexico | Peso** | 9.46 | 9.34 | 9.66 | 10.79 | 11.29 | 10.89 | 10.91 | 10.93 | 11.14 | 13.50 | 12.62 |
| Australia | Dollar | 1.72 | 1.94 | 1.84 | 0.65 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.79 | 0.92 |
| Hong Kong (S.A.R) ${ }^{1}$ | Dollar | 7.79 | 7.80 | 7.80 | 7.79 | 7.79 | 7.78 | 7.77 | 7.80 | 7.79 | 7.75 | 7.77 |
| Japan | Yen | 107.80 | 121.60 | 125.22 | 115.94 | 108.15 | 110.11 | 116.31 | 117.76 | 103.39 | 93.68 | 87.78 |
| Korea | Won | 1131.00 | 1292.00 | 1250.31 | 1192.08 | 1145.24 | 1023.75 | 954.32 | 928.97 | 1098.71 | 1274.63 | 1155.74 |
| Singapore | Dollar | 1.73 | 1.79 | 1.79 | 1.74 | 1.69 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 1.51 | 1.41 | 1.45 | 1.36 |
| Sri Lanka | Rupee | 77.01 | 89.38 | 95.77 | 96.54 | 101.27 | 100.38 | 103.94 | 110.62 | 108.30 | 114.91 | 113.00 |
| Taiwan | Dollar | 31.26 | 33.82 | 34.54 | 34.41 | 33.37 | 32.13 | 32.51 | 32.85 | 31.52 | 33.02 | 31.50 |
| France | Franc/Euro | 1.10 | 1.12 | 0.95 | 1.13 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 1.37 | 1.47 | 1.39 | 1.33 |
| Germany | Mark/Euro | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.06 | 0.90 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 1.37 | 1.47 | 1.39 | 1.33 |
| Portugal | Escuado | 1.08 | 1.12 | 1.10 | 0.90 | 1.24 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 1.37 | 1.47 | 1.39 | 1.33 |
| United Kingdom | Pound | 0.66 | 0.70 | 1.50 | 1.63 | 1.83 | 1.82 | 1.84 | 2.00 | 1.85 | 1.57 | 1.55 |
| Mauritius* | Rupee | 26.26 | 29.07 | 29.96 | 28.38 | 27.75 | 29.23 | 31.15 | 31.37 | 28.36 | 31.94 | 30.89 |

[^17]* Average buying and selling rates

Table E. 2 - Production Workers: Hourly labour cost of selected countries in national currency - Manufacturing sector, 1999-2008

| Country | Currency | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S.A | Dollar | 18.78 | 19.65 | 20.58 | 21.33 | 22.48 | 23.13 | 23.81 | 23.82 | 24.59 | 25.65 |
| Mexico | Peso** | 17.76 | 20.72 | 23.46 | 25.15 | 26.71 | 28.22 | 28.67 | 30.10 | 31.90 | 34.80 |
| Australia | Dollar | 24.73 | 24.90 | 25.76 | 28.50 | 30.76 | 31.35 | 32.65 | 34.69 | 35.96 | 38.05 |
| Hong Kong (S.A.R) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Dollar | 41.63 | 42.45 | 44.81 | 44.17 | 43.15 | 42.90 | 43.94 | 44.92 | 45.09 | 46.01 |
| Japan | 100 Yen | 23.28 | 23.59 | 23.41 | 23.15 | 23.29 | 23.70 | 23.95 | 23.50 | 23.26 | 23.94 |
| Korea Republic of | 100 Won | 87.37 | 93.09 | 99.37 | 112.44 | 122.58 | 131.90 | 138.81 | 140.49 | 148.83 | 155.97 |
| Singapore | Dollar | 11.98 | 12.70 | 13.05 | 12.36 | 12.90 | 12.59 | 12.74 | 13.57 | 12.58 | 13.90 |
| Sri Lanka | Rupee | 32.60 | 36.79 | 40.11 | 47.26 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 67.13 | 73.82 |
| Taiwan | Dollar | 186.90 | 193.20 | 204.10 | 197.80 | 201.10 | 199.10 | 205.00 | 209.20 | 216.00 | 218.90 |
| France | Franc/Euro | 15.96 | 16.75 | 17.48 | 18.11 | 18.67 | 19.21 | 19.78 | 19.82 | 20.84 | 21.46 |
| Germany | Mark/Euro | 24.65 | 25.63 | 26.30 | 26.91 | 27.62 | 26.15 | 26.51 | 27.23 | 27.46 | 24.50 |
| Portugal | Escuado | 4.75 | 4.86 | 5.13 | 5.36 | 5.51 | 5.65 | 5.89 | 6.09 | 6.03 | 6.67 |
| United Kingdom | Pound | 10.72 | 11.10 | 11.46 | 11.92 | 12.46 | 13.49 | 14.09 | 14.69 | 14.85 | 15.02 |
| Mauritius* | Rupee | 28.22 | 32.59 | 34.92 | 36.21 | 40.69 | 42.46 | 48.38 | 50.21 | 49.25 | 50.89 |

${ }^{1}$ Special Administrative Region of China
** Upto 1992- old Pesos, as from 1993-new Pesos
Source: Bureau of Labour Statistics, U.S Department of Labour

* Average buying and selling rates

Up to 2001 France-French Franc, Germany-Deutchmark, as from 2002- Euro

Table E. 3 - Production Workers: Hourly labour cost of selected countries in U.S Dollar - Manufacturing sector, 1999-2008

| US Dollar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| U.S.A | 18.78 | 19.65 | 20.58 | 21.33 | 22.48 | 23.12 | 23.81 | 24.15 | 24.59 | 25.65 |
| Mexico | 1.86 | 2.07 | 2.54 | 2.49 | 2.44 | 2.45 | 2.65 | 2.77 | 2.92 | 3.12 |
| Australia | 15.96 | 14.40 | 13.30 | 15.38 | 19.79 | 23.79 | 25.53 | 26.46 | 30.17 | 32.49 |
| Hong Kong (S.A.R ${ }^{1}$ | 5.37 | 5.45 | 5.74 | 5.66 | 5.54 | 5.51 | 5.65 | 5.78 | 5.78 | 5.91 |
| Japan | 20.47 | 21.93 | 19.43 | 18.60 | 20.32 | 21.65 | 21.31 | 19.99 | 19.75 | 23.15 |
| Korea | 7.34 | 8.23 | 7.72 | 8.77 | 9.69 | 10.50 | 12.48 | 14.48 | 16.02 | 14.20 |
| Singapore | 7.07 | 7.18 | 6.97 | 6.71 | 7.23 | 7.50 | 7.34 | 8.68 | 8.35 | 9.83 |
| Sri Lanka | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.61 | 0.68 |
| Taiwan | 5.78 | 6.19 | 6.05 | 5.64 | 5.69 | 5.97 | 6.42 | 6.56 | 6.58 | 6.95 |
| France | 17 | 15.46 | 15.65 | 17.13 | 20.74 | 23.98 | 24.56 | 25.47 | 28.57 | 31.61 |
| Germany | 26.26 | 22.67 | 22.48 | 24.22 | 29.93 | 33.14 | 33.38 | 34.26 | 37.66 | 36.07 |
| Portugal | 5.06 | 4.49 | 4.59 | 5.07 | 6.18 | 9.32 | 7.42 | 7.53 | 8.27 | 9.83 |
| United Kingdom | 17.33 | 16.84 | 16.75 | 18.36 | 21.29 | 24.37 | 25.36 | 26.36 | 29.73 | 27.86 |
| Mauritius | 1.31 | 1.24 | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.43 | 1.53 | 1.66 | 1.61 | 1.57 | 1.79 |

[^18]Table E. 4 - Production Workers: Hourly labour cost index in U.S Dollar for the Manufacturing sector, 1999-2008

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Base 2000=100) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| U.S.A | 95.6 | 100.0 | 104.7 | 108.5 | 114.4 | 117.7 | 121.2 | 122.9 | 125.1 | 130.5 |
| Mexico | 89.9 | 100.0 | 122.7 | 120.3 | 117.9 | 118.4 | 128.0 | 133.8 | 141.1 | 150.7 |
| Australia | 110.8 | 100.0 | 92.4 | 106.8 | 137.4 | 165.2 | 177.3 | 183.8 | 209.5 | 225.6 |
| Hong Kong (S.A.R) ${ }^{1}$ | 98.5 | 100.0 | 105.3 | 103.9 | 101.7 | 101.1 | 103.7 | 106.1 | 106.1 | 108.4 |
| Japan | 93.3 | 100.0 | 88.6 | 84.8 | 92.7 | 98.7 | 97.2 | 91.2 | 90.1 | 105.6 |
| Korea | 89.2 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 106.6 | 117.7 | 127.6 | 151.6 | 175.9 | 194.7 | 172.5 |
| Singapore | 98.5 | 100.0 | 97.1 | 93.5 | 100.7 | 104.5 | 102.2 | 120.9 | 116.3 | 136.9 |
| Sri Lanka | 95.8 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 102.1 | 106.3 | 108.3 | 112.5 | 118.8 | 127.1 | 141.7 |
| Taiwan | 93.4 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 91.1 | 91.9 | 96.4 | 103.7 | 106.0 | 106.3 | 112.3 |
| France | 110.0 | 100.0 | 101.2 | 110.8 | 134.2 | 155.1 | 158.9 | 164.7 | 184.8 | 204.5 |
| Germany | 115.8 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 106.8 | 132.0 | 146.2 | 147.2 | 151.1 | 166.1 | 159.1 |
| Portugal | 112.7 | 100.0 | 102.2 | 112.9 | 137.6 | 207.6 | 165.3 | 167.7 | 184.2 | 218.9 |
| United Kingdom | 102.9 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 109.0 | 126.4 | 144.7 | 150.6 | 156.5 | 176.5 | 165.4 |
| Mauritius | 105.6 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 97.6 | 115.3 | 123.4 | 133.9 | 129.8 | 126.6 | 144.4 |

[^19]Table E. 5 - MAURITIUS: Exchange rate movements* (value of foreign currency), 2000-2010

| Country | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australian Dollar | 15.15 | 14.94 | 16.19 | 18.35 | 20.25 | 22.36 | 23.73 | 26.36 | 24.08 | 25.33 | 28.47 |
| British Pound | 39.81 | 41.92 | 45.06 | 46.35 | 50.97 | 53.14 | 57.83 | 62.86 | 52.73 | 50.07 | 47.72 |
| Indian Rupee | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.68 |
| Japanese Yen(100) | 24.11 | 23.67 | 23.69 | 24.21 | 25.35 | 26.57 | 27.01 | 26.90 | 27.65 | 34.40 | 35.41 |
| South Africa Rand | 3.79 | 3.41 | 2.86 | 3.78 | 4.35 | 4.68 | 4.74 | 4.50 | 3.48 | 3.85 | 4.25 |
| Singapore Dollar | 15.21 | 16.17 | 16.68 | 16.24 | 16.38 | 17.75 | 19.87 | 21.07 | 20.19 | 22.09 | 22.77 |
| Swiss Franc | 15.50 | 17.17 | 19.21 | 20.96 | 22.23 | 23.50 | 25.01 | 26.17 | 26.28 | 29.52 | 29.65 |
| US Dollar | 29.26 | 29.07 | 29.96 | 28.38 | 27.75 | 29.23 | 31.15 | 31.37 | 28.36 | 31.94 | 30.89 |
| EURO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^20]Table E. 6 - Index of Mauritian rupee relative to foreign currency, 2000-2010

| (Base 2000=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Australian Dollar | 100.0 | 98.6 | 106.9 | 121.1 | 133.7 | 147.6 | 156.6 | 174.0 | 158.9 | 167.2 | 187.9 |
| British Pound | 100.0 | 105.3 | 113.2 | 116.4 | 128.0 | 133.5 | 145.3 | 157.9 | 132.5 | 125.8 | 119.9 |
| Indian Rupee | 100.0 | 105.1 | 105.1 | 105.1 | 105.1 | 113.6 | 118.6 | 128.8 | 111.9 | 113.6 | 115.3 |
| Japanese Yen(100) | 100.0 | 98.2 | 98.3 | 100.4 | 105.1 | 110.2 | 112.0 | 111.6 | 114.7 | 142.7 | 146.9 |
| South Africa Rand | 100.0 | 90.0 | 75.5 | 99.7 | 114.8 | 123.5 | 125.1 | 118.7 | 91.8 | 101.6 | 112.1 |
| Singapore Dollar | 100.0 | 106.3 | 109.7 | 106.8 | 107.7 | 116.7 | 130.6 | 138.5 | 132.7 | 145.2 | 149.7 |
| Swiss Franc | 100.0 | 110.8 | 123.9 | 135.2 | 143.4 | 151.6 | 161.4 | 168.8 | 169.5 | 190.5 | 191.3 |
| US Dollar | 100.0 | 110.7 | 114.1 | 108.1 | 105.7 | 111.3 | 118.6 | 119.5 | 108.0 | 121.6 | 117.6 |
| EURO | 100.0 | 107.3 | 116.7 | 132.0 | 142.1 | 151.2 | 164.6 | 178.8 | 173.4 | 185.5 | 170.6 |

Table E. 7 - Index of foreign currency relative to Mauritian rupee, 2000-2010

| (Base 2000=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Australian Dollar | 100.0 | 101.4 | 93.6 | 82.6 | 74.8 | 67.8 | 63.8 | 57.5 | 62.9 | 59.8 | 53.2 |
| British Pound | 100.0 | 95.0 | 88.3 | 85.9 | 78.1 | 74.9 | 68.8 | 63.3 | 75.5 | 79.5 | 83.4 |
| Indian Rupee | 100.0 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 88.1 | 84.3 | 77.6 | 89.4 | 88.1 | 86.8 |
| Japanese Yen(100) | 100.0 | 101.9 | 101.8 | 99.6 | 95.1 | 90.7 | 89.3 | 89.6 | 87.2 | 70.1 | 68.1 |
| South Africa Rand | 100.0 | 111.1 | 132.5 | 100.3 | 87.1 | 81.0 | 80.0 | 84.2 | 108.9 | 98.4 | 89.2 |
| Singapore Dollar | 100.0 | 94.1 | 91.2 | 93.7 | 92.9 | 85.7 | 76.5 | 72.2 | 75.3 | 68.9 | 66.8 |
| Swiss Franc | 100.0 | 90.3 | 80.7 | 74.0 | 69.7 | 66.0 | 62.0 | 59.2 | 59.0 | 52.5 | 52.3 |
| US Dollar | 100.0 | 90.3 | 87.7 | 92.5 | 94.6 | 89.8 | 84.3 | 83.7 | 92.6 | 82.2 | 85.0 |
| EURO | 100.0 | 93.2 | 85.7 | 75.7 | 70.4 | 66.1 | 60.7 | 55.9 | 57.7 | 53.9 | 58.6 |

Table E. 8 - Annual change* in the value of foreign currency relative to Mauritian rupee, 2000-2010

| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Australian Dollar | 6.4 | 1.4 | -7.7 | -11.8 | -9.4 | -9.4 | -5.8 | -10.0 | 9.5 | -4.9 | -11.0 |
| British Pound | 2.2 | -5.0 | -7.0 | -2.8 | -9.1 | -4.1 | -8.1 | -8.0 | 19.2 | 5.3 | 4.9 |
| Indian Rupee | 0.0 | -4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -7.5 | -4.3 | -7.9 | 15.2 | -1.5 | -1.5 |
| Japanese Yen(100) | -8.8 | 1.9 | -0.1 | -2.1 | -4.5 | -4.6 | -1.6 | 0.4 | -2.7 | -19.6 | -2.9 |
| South Africa Rand | 8.7 | 11.1 | 19.2 | -24.3 | -13.1 | -7.1 | -1.3 | 5.3 | 29.3 | -9.6 | -9.4 |
| Singapore Dollar | -2.4 | -5.9 | -3.1 | 2.7 | -0.9 | -7.7 | -10.7 | -5.7 | 4.4 | -8.6 | -3.0 |
| Swiss Franc | 7.9 | -9.7 | -10.6 | -8.3 | -5.7 | -5.4 | -6.0 | -4.4 | -0.4 | -11.0 | -0.4 |
| US Dollar | -4.2 | -9.7 | -3.0 | 5.6 | 2.3 | -5.1 | -6.2 | -0.7 | 10.6 | -11.2 | 3.4 |
| EURO | - | -6.8 | -8.0 | -11.6 | -7.1 | -6.0 | -8.1 | -7.9 | 3.1 | -6.5 | 8.7 |

*+ appreciation of MUR vis a vis currency
*- depreciation of MUR vis a vis currency


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ramsay Productivity Models

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ SITC: Standard International Trade Classification
    ${ }^{2}$ Articles of apparel of textile fabrics, whether or not knitted or crocheted, n.e.s

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ All Input Resources= Intermediate Consumption + Compensation of Employees + Other Taxes

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ All Input Resources= Intermediate Consumption + Compensation of Employees + Other Taxes

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Revised

[^5]:    * Deflated by the Consumer Price Index

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provisional

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The per capita GDP has been calculated using mid year population

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provisional

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provisional

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provisional

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Revised
    ${ }^{2}$ Provisional

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provisional

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provisional

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ includes wireless as from 2005
    n.a - not available
    ${ }^{2}$ broadband Internet refers to connection to the internet at a speed equal to or greater than 128 kbps , as the sum of capacity in both directions
    ${ }^{3}$ revised
    Source: Information and Communication Technologies Authority (ICTA)

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ Tariff: 313-Maximum demand Tariff for Industrial Consumers
    315 - Flat Rate Tariff for Industrial Consumers
    ${ }^{3}$ Effective as from 01 April 2008

    317- Maximum demand Tariff for Industrial Consumers possessing an export enterprise certificate

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Provisional

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Special Administrative Region of China
    ** Upto 1992- old Pesos, as from 1993-new Pesos
    Source: Bureau of Labour Statistics, U.S Department of Labour

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Special Administrative Region of China

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Special Administrative Region of China

[^20]:    *Average buying and selling rates

